

Math 6030 / Problem Set 6 (two pages)

More about Hom_R and Exactness (continued)

Recall that an R -module Q is called *injective*, if Q has the *coifting property*, i.e., if for any *injective* morphism $f : M' \rightarrow M$ of R -modules, $\text{Hom}_R(M, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M', Q)$ is surjective. Make sure that you know/checked the characterizations of Q being injective, e.g. Q injective iff $\mathcal{H}^Q = \text{Hom}_R(\bullet, Q)$ is exact iff Q is direct summand in every R -module containing Q iff Q satisfies Baer's criterion . . .

- 1) Let M, M_i be R -modules, S be a commutative R -algebra. Prove/disprove/answer:
 - a) Q is injective iff $Q_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is injective $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ iff $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is injective $\forall \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$.
 - b) $M = \prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}$ is injective iff all M_{α} are injective. Does the same hold for $M = \bigoplus_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}$?
 - c) If M is a injective, then M is flat. Does the converse hold?
- 2) Let S be a commutative R -algebra. Prove the assertion from class:
 - a) If Q is and injective S -module, then Q is (by restriction of scalars) injective over R .
 - b) If Q is an injective R -module, the co-induced S -module $M^S := \text{Hom}_R(S, M)$ is injective.
- 3) Prove the assertions form class:
 - a) An abelian group A is an injective \mathbb{Z} -modules iff A is divisible, e.g., $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, +$ is so.
 - b) If $R\text{-Mod} \rightsquigarrow R\text{-Mod}$, $M \mapsto M^D := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is a contravariant exact functor.
 - c) $M \rightarrow M^{DD} := (M^D)^D$, $x \mapsto \varphi(x) \forall \varphi \in M^D$ is an injective morphism of R -modules.

Resolutions of R -modules /// Tor_i^R and Ext_R^i

Recall that given an R -module M , a **projective/free/flat resolution** of M is any *exact sequence* of the form $(\mathcal{P}_M) : \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where P_0, P_1, \dots are projective/free/flat R -modules. Similarly, an *exact sequence* $(\mathcal{Q}_N) : 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow Q_0 \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow \dots$ with Q_0, Q_1, \dots injective R -modules is called an **injective resolution** of a given R -module N . **Notice** that both multiple projective/free/flat resolutions (\mathcal{P}_M) and/or injective resolutions (\mathcal{Q}_N) exist (WHY).

Finally, resolutions \mathcal{P}_M and/or \mathcal{Q}_N as above, consider the resulting sequences in $R\text{-Mod}$:

- a) $\mathcal{P}_M \otimes_R N: \quad \dots \rightarrow P_2 \otimes_R N \xrightarrow{\pi_2} P_1 \otimes_R N \xrightarrow{\pi_1} P_0 \otimes_R N \xrightarrow{\pi_0} 0$
- b) $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{P}_M, N): \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P_0, N) \xrightarrow{p_0} \text{Hom}_R(P_1, N) \xrightarrow{p_1} \text{Hom}_R(P_2, N) \xrightarrow{p_2} \dots$
- c) $\text{Hom}_R(M, \mathcal{Q}_N): \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(Q_0, N) \xrightarrow{q_0} \text{Hom}_R(Q_1, N) \xrightarrow{q_1} \text{Hom}_R(Q_2, N) \xrightarrow{q_2} \dots$

- 4) Prove the above sequences of R -modules at a), b), c) above are complexes.

Recall: The *homology groups* of the complex $\mathcal{P}_M \otimes_R N$ are called the **Tor-groups** of M, N , denoted $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) := \text{Ker}(\pi_i) / \text{Im}(\pi_{i+1})$. The *cohomology groups* of the complex $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{P}_M, N)$ are called the **Ext-groups** of M, N , denoted $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) := \text{Ker}(p_i) / \text{Im}(p_{i-1})$. The following fundamental facts hold (try to study the proofs, which are a little bit technical!):

Thm (Baer; Eilenberg, MacLane). $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ are *independent* of the resolutions used to compute them, and $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ equal the cohomology groups of $\text{Hom}_R(M, \mathcal{Q}_N)$,

$$\text{that is, } \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = \text{Ker}(q_i) / \text{Im}(q_{i-1}).$$

- The following (quite obvious) properties of Tor^R and Ext_R hold (**try to prove!**):
 - $\text{Tor}_0^R(M, N) = M \otimes_R N$ and $\text{Ext}_R^0(M, N) = \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ (**why**).
 - If M or N is flat, $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, N) = (0)$ (**why**). What about $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$?
 - If M or N is projective/injective, $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = (0)$. What about $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$?
 - $\text{Tor}_i^R(\oplus_\alpha M_\alpha, N) = \oplus_\alpha \text{Tor}_i^R(M_\alpha, N)$ and $\text{Tor}_i^R(\varinjlim M_\alpha, N) = \varinjlim \text{Tor}_i^R(M_\alpha, N)$.
 - $\text{Ext}_R^i(\oplus_\alpha M_\alpha, N) = \prod_\alpha \text{Ext}_R^i(M_\alpha, N)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, \prod_\alpha N_\alpha) = \prod_\alpha \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N_\alpha)$.

5) Prove/disprove:

- Tor_i^R and Ext_R^i are compatible with taking rings of fractions $R\text{-Mod} \rightsquigarrow R_\Sigma\text{-Mod}$, i.e., $(\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N))_\Sigma = \text{Tor}_{R_\Sigma}^i(M_\Sigma, N_\Sigma)$, and $(\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N))_\Sigma = \text{Ext}_{R_\Sigma}^i(M_\Sigma, N_\Sigma)$.
- What about the behavior of Tor_i^R and Ext_R^i under localization?

- Finally, given short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$, $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$, Tor^R and Ext_R give rise to long exact sequences as follows (**check the proof!**):

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_2^R(M'', N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(M', N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(M'', N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_0^R(M', N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_0^R(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_0^R(M'', N) \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^0(N'', M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^0(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^0(N', M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(N'', M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(N', M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(N'', M) \rightarrow \cdots \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^0(M, N') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^0(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^0(M, N'') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N'') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(M, N') \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

6) For $r \in R$ and an R -module N , we denote ${}_rN := \{x \in N \mid rx = 0_N\}$.

Supposing that $r \in R$ is not a zero-divisor, prove/disprove:

- $\text{Tor}_0^R(R/rR, N) = N/rN$; $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/rR, N) = {}_rN$; $\text{Tor}_i^R(R/rR, N) = (0)$ for $i > 1$.
- $\text{Ext}_R^0(R/rR, N) = {}_rN$; $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/rR, N) = N/rN$; $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/rR, N) = (0)$ for $i > 1$.

Make educated guesses: *What should be the above assertions for $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$, $n = 2, \dots$.*

[**Hint:** $0 \rightarrow rR \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/rR \rightarrow 0$ is a projective resolution of $M := R/rR$ (**why**), $0 \rightarrow {}_rN \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/rN \rightarrow 0$ is exact, etc. ...]

- Recall that given a group G acting on an abelian group A , we defined the cohomology groups $H^i(G, A) = Z^i(G, A)/B^i(G, A)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Supposing that G, A are R -modules and G acts trivially on A , consider $B_{R\text{-Mod}}^i(G, A) \subset Z_{R\text{-Mod}}^i(G, A)$ as R -modules (**how**), hence $H_{R\text{-Mod}}^i(G, A)$ are R -modules (**why**).

7) In the above notation, prove/disprove: $H_{R\text{-Mod}}^i(G, A) = \text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(G, A)$ for $i = 1, 2$.