## Math 6030 / Problem Set 11 (two pages)

## Miscellaneous

Recall: for a commutative ring R with  $1_R$  and  $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I}d(R)$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a})$  the nil radical, resp. Jacobson radical of  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Equivalently, if  $pr: R \to \overline{R} = R/\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathcal{N}(\overline{R}) \subset \mathcal{J}(\overline{R})$ are the nil, resp. Jacobson radical of  $\overline{R}$ , then  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}) = pr^{-1}(\mathcal{N}(\overline{R}))$  and  $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}) = pr^{-1}(\mathcal{J}(\overline{R}))$ .

1) Prove that for a commutative ring R with  $1_R$ , TFAE:

- (i) For every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$  one has  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{p})$ .
- (ii) For every  $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I}d(R)$  one has  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a})$ .
- (iii) For every surjective ring morphism  $R \twoheadrightarrow S$  one has  $\mathcal{N}(S) = \mathcal{J}(S)$ .

**Terminology.** R satisfying the equivalent conditions (i), (ii), (iii) above is a Jacobson ring.

2) Let I be a nonempty (finite or infinite) set. Prove/disprove/answer:

- a) A polynomial ring  $k[t_i]_{i \in I}$  is a Jacobson ring, provided: (i) I is finite; (ii) I is arbitrary.
- b) Same questions for the k-algebras  $R = k[x_i]_{i \in I}$  in I-generators.
- c) Same questions for the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[t_i]_{i \in I}$ , respectively the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $\mathbb{Z}[x_i]_{i \in I}$ .

Let k be a base field,  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  be k-independent variables, and recall that every ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subset k[\underline{X}]$  is finitely generated (WHY), hence of the form  $\mathfrak{a} = (f_1, \ldots, f_r)$  for some  $f_j \in k[\underline{X}]$ . Given  $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I}d(k[\underline{X}])$ , set  $R := k[\underline{X}]/\mathfrak{a} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  with  $x_i := X_i \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}$ . Let K|k a field extension with  $K = \overline{K}$  algebraically closed, and  $\overline{k}|k \hookrightarrow K|k$  the algebraic closure of k in K. Given a set  $f = \{f_i\}_i$  of polynomials  $f_i \in k[\underline{X}]$ , denote  $V(f) := \{a \in K^n | f_i(a) = 0 \forall i\}$ and call  $V = V(f) \subset K^n$  an k-algebraic (sub)set of  $K^n$ . Finally, given a k-algebraic subset  $V \subset K^n$ , we denote  $I(V) := \{f \in k[\underline{X}] | f(a) = 0 \forall a \in V\}$  and call it ideal of V.

3) Let  $V, W \subset K^n$  be k-algebraic subsets. Prove/disprove/answer:

- a) Given f, let  $\mathfrak{a}_f \subset k[\underline{X}]$  be the ideal generated by f. Then  $V(f) = V(\mathfrak{a}_f)$ .
- b)  $I(V) \subset k[\underline{X}]$  is an ideal, and further one has  $I(V) = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}_f) = \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}_f)$
- c) V = W iff I(V) = I(W) iff  $V \cap \overline{k}^n = W \cap \overline{k}^n$ .

4) Let td(K|k) be the transcendence degree of K|k. Prove/disprove/answer:

- a) The map  $\operatorname{Hom}_k(k[\underline{X}], K) \to K^n, \ \varphi \mapsto a := (\varphi(X_1), \ldots, \varphi(X_n))$  is a bijection.
- b) For every  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_k([\underline{X}], K)$  one has  $\mathfrak{p}_{\varphi} := \ker(\varphi) \in \operatorname{Spec}(k[\underline{X}])$ .
- c) For  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(k[\underline{X}]) \exists \varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_k(k[\underline{X}], K)$  with  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{\varphi}$  iff  $\operatorname{coht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq \operatorname{td}(K|k)$ .

## Integral ring extensions/Hilbert Decomposition Theory

Recall the basics: Let G be a profinite group,  $N_i$ ,  $i \in I$  denote its open normal subgroups,  $pr_i: G \twoheadrightarrow G_i = G/N_i, g \mapsto g_i$  the canonical projections, hence  $G = \varprojlim_i G_i$  canonically (How). Let S be a discrete ring on which G acts continuously. Equivalently, the orbits  $Gx, x \in S$ are finite (WHY). For every  $N_i$ , set  $S_i := S^{N_i} := \{x \in S \mid N_i x = x\}$ , and  $R := S^G$ . Then  $S_j \supset S_i$ iff  $N_j \subset N_i$  (WHY), and consider the restriction maps  $i_{ji}^*: \mathcal{I}d(S_j) \to \mathcal{I}d(S_i), \mathfrak{a}_j \mapsto \mathfrak{a}_i := \mathfrak{a}_j \cap S_i$ . Recall that S|R is integral (WHY), and so are  $S|S_i, S_j|S_i$  and  $S_i|R$  (WHY), and recall the maps  $X_{\mathfrak{p}} \twoheadrightarrow X_{\mathfrak{p}}^j \twoheadrightarrow X_{\mathfrak{p}}^j$  of sets of primes  $X_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \operatorname{Spec}(S), X_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \operatorname{Spec}(S_{\bullet})$ , above a given  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ .

5) In the above notation/context, prove/disprove/answer:

- a)  $G_i$  acts on  $S_i$  by  $g_i x := gx$ , where  $g \mapsto g_i$  under  $pr_i : G \twoheadrightarrow G_i$ , and  $S_i^{G_i} = R$ .
- b)  $S = \bigcup_i S_i$ , hence if  $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I}d(S)$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_i := \mathfrak{a} \cap S_i$ , then  $\mathfrak{a} = \bigcup_i \mathfrak{a}_i$ .
- c)  $(\mathcal{I}d(S_i), i_{kj}^*)_{i,k \ge j}$  is a projective system of sets, and  $\mathcal{I}d(S) = \lim_{i \ge j} \mathcal{I}d(S_i)$  (How).
- d)  $\imath_{ji}^*$  is compatible with the groups action, i.e., if  $g_j \mapsto g_i$ , then  $\imath_{ji}^*(g_j(\mathfrak{a}_j)) = g_i(\mathfrak{a}_i)$ .

6) Recalling that Spec(•) carries the Zariski topology, prove/disprove:

- a)  $\operatorname{Spec}(S) \to \operatorname{Spec}(S_i) \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$  are onto, continuous, compatible with group actions.
- b)  $(X^i_{\mathfrak{p}}, \imath^*_{kj})_{i,k \ge j}$  is a projective surjective system of finite sets, and  $X_{\mathfrak{p}} = \varprojlim X^i_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

**Conclude**:  $X_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \operatorname{Spec}(S)$  is a *profinite topological space*—as a subspace of  $\operatorname{Spec}(S)$ .

- 7) In the above context, for  $\mathfrak{q} \mapsto \mathfrak{q}_i \mapsto \mathfrak{p}$ , prove/disprove:
  - a)  $D_{\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}} \twoheadrightarrow D_{\mathfrak{q}_i|\mathfrak{p}}$  under  $G \twoheadrightarrow G_i$ , and  $D_{\mathfrak{q}} = \lim_{i \to \infty} D_{\mathfrak{q}_i|\mathfrak{p}}$ .
  - b) G acts continuously on the profinite space  $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and  $X_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong G/D_{\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}}$  as G-spaces.
- 8) In the above notation and context,  $(\mathfrak{q}_j)_j$  and  $(\mathfrak{p}_i)_i$  be maximal chains in Spec(S), respectively in Spec(R). Prove/disprove:
  - a) Setting  $\mathfrak{p}_i := \mathfrak{q}_i \cap R$ , the chain  $(\mathfrak{p}_i)_j$  is maximal in Spec(R).
  - b) There is a maximal chain  $(\mathfrak{q}_i)_i$  in  $\operatorname{Spec}(S)$  with  $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{q}_i \cap R$ .
  - \*) Same questions for any subring  $S' \subset S$  which contains R, i.e.,  $R \subset S' \subset S$ .

## Fractional Ideals

Let R be a commutative ring R with  $1_R$  and  $K = R_{\Sigma_R^0}$  be its total ring of fractions. An R-submodule  $M \subset K$ , + is a fractional ideal (of R) if  $\exists r \in \Sigma_R^0$  such that  $rM \subset R$ . Recall that given fractional ideals M, N of R, one defines  $(M : N) := \{x \in K | xN \subset M\}$  and  $M \cdot N = \langle xy | x \in M, y \in N \rangle_R \subset K$ , + the corresponding R-submodules. Finally, a fractional ideal M is called invertible, if there is a fractional R-submodule  $N \subset K$ , + such that  $M \cdot N = R$ . Notation. Let  $\mathcal{I}'_R$  be the set of fractional ideals,  $\mathcal{I}_R \subset \mathcal{I}'_R$  be the invertible fractional ideals.

9) In the above notation, Prove/disprove/answer:

- a) If  $M, N \in I'_R$ , then  $M + N, M \cdot N, (M:N)$  are fractional ideals.
- b)  $I'_R$  endowed with + and  $\cdot$  is a semi-ring.
- c)  $I_R \subset I'_R$  is the group of invertible elements in the monoid  $I'_R$ ,  $\cdot$  of fractional ideals.

 $[\textbf{Hint to a}): \text{ Use that } xR \in \mathcal{I}_R \text{ for all } x \in K^{\times} (\textbf{WHY}) \text{ and } N' \subset N, \ M' \subset M \Rightarrow (M':N) \subset (M:N), (M:N) \subset (M:N') (\textbf{WHY}), \text{ etc.}]$ 

10) Let M be a fractional ideal, prove/disprove:

- a) If  $M \in \mathcal{I}_R$ , then M is a finite R-module, and  $\exists s \in M \cap \Sigma_R^0$ .
- b) M is invertible iff  $M \cdot (R:M) = R$ . Hence  $M \in \mathcal{I}_R$  iff (R:M) is the inverse of M.
- c) TFAE: (i)  $M \in I_R$ ; (ii)  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \in I_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ ; (iii)  $M_{\mathfrak{m}} \in I_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}} \forall \mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(R)$ .