

Math 202 / Problem Set 4 (two pages)**Basic facts about the arithmetic in \mathbb{N} .**

Recall the divisibility, $d \mid n$ in \mathbb{N} , and the definition of **prime numbers** p in \mathbb{N} . Recall that $(m, n) = \text{g.c.d.}(m, n)$ and $[m, n] = \text{l.c.m.}(m, n)$ denote the greatest common divisor, respectively the lowest common multiple of m, n . Recall what happens when m or n equal 0, and *why it is meaningful* to work with natural numbers in $\mathbb{N}_{>0}$ when discussing divisibility. Make sure to review/know the following basic facts about the arithmetic in \mathbb{N} .

- Let $l + m = n$. Then k divides two of the numbers l, m, n iff divides all three of them.
- Every natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ is a product of prime numbers.
- There are infinitely many prime numbers.
- Division with remainder: If $m \neq 0$, $\exists q, r \in \mathbb{N}$ **unique** s.t. $n = m \cdot q + r$, $0 \leq r < m$.
- There are **unique** primes $p_1 < \dots < p_r$ and $e_1, \dots, e_r > 0$ s.t. $n = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_r^{e_r}$.
- Let $m = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_r^{e_r}$, $n = q_1^{f_1} \dots q_s^{f_s}$. Describe $\text{g.c.d.}(m, n)$ and $\text{l.c.m.}(m, n)$ in terms of the prime numbers p_i, q_j and their exponents e_i, f_j for $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq s$.
- One has $m \cdot n = \text{g.c.d.}(m, n) \cdot \text{l.c.m.}(m, n)$.

Equivalence relations Make sure that you check all the details of the fact that giving an equivalence relation \sim on a set A is the same as giving a partition $A = \cup_i A_i$ of A . Precisely:

- For equivalence classes \hat{x}, \hat{y} of \sim on A , TFAE: (i) $\hat{x} \cap \hat{y} \neq \emptyset$; (ii) $\hat{x} = \hat{y}$; (iii) $x \sim y$.
In particular, the set of equivalence classes $(\hat{x})_{x \in A}$ is a partition of A (WHY).
- If $A = \cup_i A_i$ is a partition of A , then: $x \sim y \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} (\exists A_i \text{ s.t. } x, y \in A_i)$
is an equivalence relation on A such that $\hat{x} = A_i$ provided $x \in A_i$.

1) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Define the relation \sim_k on \mathbb{N} by: $m \sim_k n \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} (m \text{ and } n \text{ give the same remainder under division by } k)$. Prove/disprove/answer the following:

- a) \sim_k is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{N} .
- b) What are the equivalence classes of 0, 1, $k + 1$, $3k + 2$?

The ring of integer numbers \mathbb{Z}

Recall that $\mathbb{Z} =: \mathcal{Z}/\sim := \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}/\sim$ as defined in the class, and the definitions of the addition \oplus and the multiplication \odot on \mathbb{Z} . Make sure that you know that \sim is an equivalence relation and that the addition \oplus and multiplication \odot are well defined (what does that mean?). Recall that each $a = (m-n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ has a **unique** representative of the form: $a = (k-0) =: k \in \mathbb{N}$ if $m \geq n$, respectively $a = (0-l) =: (-l) \in -\mathbb{N}$ if $m \leq n$, where $0 = (-0)$. Hence $\mathbb{Z} = -\mathbb{N} \cup \mathbb{N}$ with $(-0) = 0$. Finally make sure that you know the notions:

- \mathbb{Z} endowed with \oplus is a commutative group (WHY).
- \mathbb{Z} endowed with \odot is a commutative monoid (WHY).
- \mathbb{Z} endowed with addition \oplus and multiplication \odot is a commutative ring (WHY).

2) Prove the following basic facts about the ring of integer numbers \mathbb{Z} :

- a) \oplus and \odot on \mathbb{Z} have the cancelation property (what does that mean?).

- b) $a, b \neq 0_{\mathbb{Z}} \Rightarrow a \cdot b \neq 0_{\mathbb{Z}}$. If $a \cdot b = 1_{\mathbb{Z}}$, then either $a = 1_{\mathbb{Z}} = b$, or $a = -1_{\mathbb{Z}} = b$.
 c) The **sign rule** $(-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b) = a \cdot (-b)$ holds in \mathbb{Z} .

3) Prove that $\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot$ **has no proper subrings**, i.e., if $X \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is a subset which is closed with respect to the usual addition, subtraction, multiplication, and has $0_X, 1_X$, then $X = \mathbb{Z}$.

The field of rational numbers

Recall $\mathbb{Q} =: \mathcal{Q}/\sim := \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\bullet}/\sim$ as defined in the class, and the definitions of the addition \oplus and the multiplication \odot on \mathbb{Q} . Make sure that you know that \sim is an equivalence relation and that the addition \oplus and multiplication \odot are well defined (**what does that mean?**). Further, recall that every rational number $\frac{a}{r}$ has a unique representative of the form $\frac{a_0}{r_0}$, where $r_0 \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, $a_0 = n$ or $a_0 = -n$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a, r_0 relatively prime. Finally make sure that you know the notions:

- \mathbb{Q} endowed with \oplus is a commutative group (**WHY**).
- \mathbb{Q}^{\bullet} endowed with \odot is a commutative group (**WHY**).
- \mathbb{Q} endowed with addition \oplus and multiplication \odot is a field (**WHY**).

4) Prove that $\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot$ **has no proper subfields**, i.e., if a subset $X \subset \mathbb{Q}$, $X \neq \{0\}$ is closed with respect to the usual addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division, then $X = \mathbb{Q}$.

5) Let $a = \frac{k}{l} \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and $\text{g.c.d.}(l, k) = 1$. Prove the following:

- a) For $a = 15$ and $n = 7$, the equation $x^n = a$ has no solutions in \mathbb{Q} .
- b) The equation $x^n = a$ has a solution in \mathbb{Q} iff both k and an l are n^{th} powers in \mathbb{N} .

The canonical embeddings $\mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ and the natural ordering.

From now on we denote the addition and multiplication in \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Q} simply by $+$ respectively \cdot and recall the canonical embeddings $\mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, defined by $n \mapsto (n-0) =: n$, respectively $a \mapsto \frac{a}{1}$. Make sure that you know that the above embeddings are compatible with $+$, \cdot . Concerning the natural ordering of \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Q} , recall that:

- $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} := \mathbb{N}$, and define: $a \leq b \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} b - a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} := \left\{ \frac{k}{l} \mid k, l \in \mathbb{N}, l > 0 \right\}$, and define: $a \leq b \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} b - a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$.

6) Complete the proof of the assertions made in the class:

- a) \leq are total orderings on both \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Q} , compatible with $+$ and \cdot (**HOW**).
- b) The canonical embeddings $\mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ are compatible with the total orderings \leq .
- c) For all $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ one has: $a^2 \geq 0_{\mathbb{Q}}$, hence $a^2 > 0_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $a \neq 0_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

The absolute value on \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Q} .

Let R denote either \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Q} . Define $|| : R \rightarrow R_{\geq 0}$ by $|x| = x$ if $x \geq 0_R$, and $|x| = -x$ if $x \leq 0_R$. Then $||$ is a well defined map (**WHY**), called the **absolute value** (map).

7) Prove that $|| : R \rightarrow R_{\geq 0}$ has the properties:

- a) $|a \cdot b| = |a| \cdot |b|$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$.
- b) $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$. For which $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ does one have: $|a + b| = |a| + |b|$?