### A Diophantine definition of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{Q}(z)$

Héctor Pastén

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile

Joint work with Natalia Garcia-Fritz

Definability, Decidability and Computability over Arithmetically Significant Fields (Semi penular opinion: overy field is arithmetically significant)

(Semi-popular opinion: every field is arithmetically significant)

## A result of Raphael Robinson

#### Theorem (R. Robinson 1964)

The field  $\mathbb{Q}$  is first order definable in the field  $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ .

#### Proof.

Using an elliptic curve, one defines an infinite set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  which is dense in the  $\mathbb{R}$ -topology (take *y*-coordinates of rational points). Then  $f \in \mathbb{Q}(z)$  is constant if and only if:

$$\forall g, [g \in S \rightarrow (f \leq_4 g \lor g \leq_4 f)]$$

where  $\leq_4$  is the partial order defined by sums of 4 squares.

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Motivated by the previous result, the following question has been around for quite some time:

Problem

Is  $\mathbb{Q}$  Diophantine in  $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ ?

Natalia and I learned about this question from Thanases Pheidas's talk at the MSRI DDC meeting in 2022.

The problem remains open, but I'll explain a partial answer.

## Diophantine definition of k in k(z)

More generally, if k is a field we can ask whether k is Diophantine in k(z).

A positive answer is known in the following cases (cf. work by Koenigsmann 2002 and Fehm-Geyer 2009):

- k is large (in the sense of Pop)
- For some  $n \ge 2$  the quotient group  $k^{\times}/(k^{\times})^n$  is finite.

Unfortunately this says nothing about  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ .

### Elliptic surfaces: the basics

Before stating our main result we need some background on elliptic surfaces. We'll only consider the base  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

Let k be a field. An **elliptic surface** over k is a smooth projective surface X/k along with:

- A surjective morphism  $\pi: X \to \mathbb{P}^1$  whose fibres are smooth curves of genus 1, up to finitely many; and
- a distinguished section  $\sigma_0 : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$  of  $\pi$ , that is,  $\pi \circ \sigma_0 = Id_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ .

**Technical conditions:** there are bad fibres, and the fibres of  $\pi$  contain no (-1)-curves.

### Elliptic surfaces: the basics

Slogan:

An elliptic surface is a 1-parameter family of elliptic curves (with singular fibres.)



▶ < ∃ ▶</p>

### Elliptic surfaces: the basics

Important facts:

- For each v ∈ P<sup>1</sup>(k) with X<sub>v</sub> smooth, the fibre X<sub>v</sub> is an elliptic curve with neutral element σ<sub>0</sub>(v).
- The sections  $MW(X/k, \pi)$  form a f.g. abelian group (Lang-Neron). Elliptic surfaces can be given (birationally) by a Weierstrass equation

$$E_t$$
:  $y^2 = x^3 + a(t)x^2 + b(t)x + c(t)$ 

with  $a, b, c \in k(t)$ . The group of sections can be seen very concretely:

$$MW(X/k,\pi)\simeq E_t(k(t)).$$

**Example:**  $E_t$ :  $y^2 = x^3 + tx + t^2$  has the section  $P_t = (0, t) \in E_t(k(t))$ . This is like giving a point in each  $X_v$  which varies algebraically.

# Elliptic surfaces: geometry

#### Conjecture (Ulmer)

Let  $X/\mathbb{C}$  be a smooth projective surface with canonical sheaf  $K_X$ . There is a constant M such that for every (possibly singular) rational curve  $C \subseteq X$  we have  $C.K_X \leq M$ .

Natalia and I proved:

#### Theorem (GF-P)

If Ulmer's conjecture holds, then there is an elliptic surface  $X/\mathbb{Q}$  with a bad fibre of multiplicative type, such that X contains only finitely many rational curves over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

This consequence of Ulmer's conjecture is what we need.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## Elliptic surfaces: arithmetic

Assume  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ . The rational points of an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  form a finitely generated abelian group (Mordell).

#### Theorem (Silverman)

Let  $\pi: X \to \mathbb{P}^1$  be an elliptic surface over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For all but finitely many  $v \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  we have

#### $\operatorname{rk} MW(X/\mathbb{Q},\pi) \leq \operatorname{rk} E_{\nu}(\mathbb{Q}).$

How often do we have equality? How often do we have strict inequality? There are conjectures on this. Under mild assumptions both cases are expected to occur quite often.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

### Elliptic surfaces: arithmetic

We need the next conjecture which follows from conjectures by Helfgott and Silverman

#### Conjecture (Positive rank conjecture)

Let  $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$  be an elliptic surface over  $\mathbb{Q}$  given in Weierstrass form with polynomial coefficients, having some bad fibre of multiplicative type in the affine part. For x > 1 define

$$N(x) = \#\{n \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq x} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}) : \operatorname{rk} X_n(\mathbb{Q}) > 0\}.$$

Then N(x) grows linearly: there is c > 0 with N(x) > cx for  $x \gg 1$ .

#### Main result

#### Theorem (GF-P 2022)

If Ulmer's conjecture and the Helfgott–Silverman conjecture hold, then  $\mathbb{Q}$  is Diophantine in  $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ .

We'll sketch a proof. First we need some notions from additive number theory.

#### Density

Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . We have the following notions of density:

$$\delta^*(S) = \limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} \cdot \#\{n \in S : n \le x\} \text{ upper density}$$
  
$$\delta_*(S) = \liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} \cdot \#\{n \in S : n \le x\} \text{ lower density}$$
  
$$\sigma(S) = \inf_{k \ge 1} \frac{1}{k} \cdot \{n \in S : 1 \le n \le k\} \text{ Schnirelmann density}$$

**Example.**  $S = 2\mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\delta^*(S) = \delta_*(S) = 1/2$  while  $\sigma(S) = 0$ .

It might seem artificial to call  $\sigma$  a density. Nevertheless, it is quite useful.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

### Density

#### Lemma

If  $\delta_*(S) > 0$  and  $1 \in S$  then  $\sigma(S) > 0$ .

A set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is called **additive basis of finite order** if there is a uniform M such that every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is the sum of  $\leq M$  elements of S.

#### Theorem (Schnirelmann)

If  $\sigma(S) > 0$  and  $0 \in S$  then S is an additive basis of finite order.

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □

### Density

#### Corollary (Criterion for checking that $\mathbb{Q}$ is Diophantine)

Let A be a commutative  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. Suppose that there is a set  $T \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  which is Diophantine in A and satisfies  $\delta_*(T \cap \mathbb{N}) > 0$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}$  is Diophantine in A.

Proof.

- We can add 0,1 to *T*, so that now *T* ∩ N is an additive basis of finite order.
- We can give a Diophantine definition of a set  $T' \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  that contains  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- Taking fractions, now we get  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

So we need to produce such a T for  $A = \mathbb{Q}(z)$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Sketch of the Diophantine definition of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{Q}(z)$

• By Ulmer's conjecture there is  $X/\mathbb{Q}$  elliptic surface with finitely many rational curves and with a bad multiplicative fibre, say

$$E_t: y^2 = x^3 + A(t)x + B(t).$$

• For any **non-constant**  $f \in \mathbb{Q}(z)$  the new elliptic surface

$$E_f: y^2 = x^3 + A(f)x + B(f)$$

has torsion group of sections: each section gives a rational curve in the surface X. Thus,  $\operatorname{rk} E_f(\mathbb{Q}(z)) = 0$ .

• For all but finitely many **constant**  $c \in \mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(z)$  the substitution t = c gives an elliptic curve  $E_c/\mathbb{Q}$  which has  $E_c(\mathbb{Q}(z)) = E_c(\mathbb{Q})$ . These **might** have positive rank: that would be

$$\operatorname{rk} E_c(\mathbb{Q}(z)) = \operatorname{rk} E_c(\mathbb{Q}) > 0. \quad (?)$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

## Sketch of the Diophantine definition of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{Q}(z)$

- By Mazur's torsion theorem, "to have positive rank over Q or Q(z)" is a Diophantine condition over Q(z).
- Therefore, the set

$$egin{aligned} T &= \{f \in \mathbb{Q}(z) : \operatorname{rk} E_f(\mathbb{Q}(z)) > 0\} \ &= \{c \in \mathbb{Q} : \operatorname{rk} E_c(\mathbb{Q}) > 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \end{aligned}$$

is Diophantine over  $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ .

- Under the Helfgott–Silverman conjecture,  $\delta_*(T \cap \mathbb{N}) > 0$ .
- We conclude by the "criterion for checking that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is Diophantine".

#### Some possible directions

- Construct the required elliptic surface unconditionally. Recall: we need
  - $X \to \mathbb{P}^1$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$
  - with a bad multiplicative fibre
  - with only finitely many rational curves on it.
- What about number fields?
- What about  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(z_1, z_2)$  ?
- Let C/Q be a smooth projective curve of genus g. Is Q Diophantine in Q(C)? We studied the case of C = P<sup>1</sup>.
- Find interesting subfields  $F \subseteq \mathbb{Q}((z))$  where  $\mathbb{Q}$  is Diophantine.

Thanks for your attention.

æ

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >