

# AN INTRODUCTION TO FINANCIAL MATHEMATICS

YURI KIFER

ABSTRACT.

## 1. LECTURE 6: FUNDAMENTAL THEOREMS OF ASSET PRICING

**1.1. Arbitrage.** We return to the general discrete time financial market described in Lecture 4 which consists of a probability space with a filtration  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \geq 0}, P)$  and of  $d$ -stocks  $S = (S^1, \dots, S^d)$ ,  $S^i = (S_n^i)_{n \geq 0}$  and a bond  $B = (B_n)_{n \geq 0}$  which will be called a general  $(B, S)$  financial market. Here

$$B_n = B_0 \prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} (1 + r_k) \text{ and } S_n^i = S_0^i \prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} (1 + \rho_k^i)$$

with a predictable sequence  $r_k \geq 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and adapted sequences  $\rho_k^i$ ,  $-1 < \rho_k^i$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . We recall that a pair  $\pi = (\beta, \gamma)$  of predictable sequences of random variables  $\beta = \{\beta_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  and  $\gamma = \{\gamma_n^1, \dots, \gamma_n^d\}_{n \geq 0}$  is called a self-financing trading strategy if

$$\Delta X_n^\pi = X_n^\pi - X_{n-1}^\pi = \beta_n \Delta B_n + \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_n^i \Delta S_n^i = \beta_n \Delta B_n + (\gamma_n, \Delta S_n).$$

where  $X_n^\pi = \beta_n B_n + \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_n^i S_n^i = \beta_n B_n + (\gamma_n, S_n)$  is the portfolio value at time  $n$  corresponding to the strategy  $\pi$ .

### 1.1. Definition. (arbitrage)

A self-financing trading strategy  $\pi$  provides an arbitrage opportunity at time  $N$  if  $X_0^\pi = 0$ ,  $X_N^\pi \geq 0$  a.s. and  $P\{X_N^\pi > 0\} > 0$  (equivalently,  $EX_N^\pi > 0$ ). A financial market has no arbitrage opportunities

- 1) if  $X_0^\pi = 0$  and  $X_N^\pi \geq 0$  implies that  $X_N^\pi = 0$  a.s.;
- 2) (in the weak sense) if  $X_0^\pi = 0$  and  $X_n^\pi \geq 0$  for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$  implies that  $X_N^\pi = 0$  a.s.;
- 3) (in the strong sense) if  $X_0^\pi = 0$  and  $X_N^\pi \geq 0$  implies that  $X_n^\pi = 0$  a.s. for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

**1.2. Remark.** For studying arbitrage related issues we are talking about events of the form  $\{X_n^\pi > 0\}$ ,  $\{X_n^\pi \geq 0\}$  and  $\{X_n^\pi = 0\}$ , and so we can deal instead with adjusted quantities  $\tilde{X}_n^\pi = \frac{X_n^\pi}{B_n}$ ,  $\tilde{S}_n = \frac{S_n}{B_n}$  and  $\tilde{B}_n = 1$  (i.e., in fact, we can assume that the bond interest rate is zero).

**1.3. Theorem.** (*First fundamental theorem of asset pricing*) *The general  $(B, S)$  financial market defined above has no arbitrage opportunities (in the sense of 1))*

---

*Date:* October 19, 2016.

if and only if there exists a martingale measure (i.e. a measure  $\tilde{P}$  equivalent to  $P$  such that  $\tilde{S}_n = \frac{S_n}{B_n}$ ,  $n \geq 0$  is a martingale with respect to it).

*Proof.* a) Suppose that a martingale measure  $\tilde{P}$  exists,  $\pi$  is a self-financing strategy and  $X_n^\pi$  is the value of the corresponding portfolio. Then  $\tilde{X}_n^\pi = \frac{X_n^\pi}{B_n}$ ,  $n \geq 0$  is a martingale with respect to  $\tilde{P}$ . Hence, if  $X_0^\pi = 0$  a.s. then  $0 = E\tilde{X}_0^\pi = E\tilde{X}_N^\pi$ , and so if  $\tilde{X}_N^\pi \geq 0$  a.s. then  $\tilde{X}_N^\pi = 0$ , i.e. there is no arbitrage opportunities.

b) The other direction (no arbitrage opportunities implies existence of a martingale measure) is more difficult to prove. For a proof in full generality see [1] and most of the details can also be found in [3] (see also [2]). In class we will discuss a simpler proof in a particular case of a finite probability space which can be found in Chapter 3 of [4].  $\square$

**1.4. Remark.** (i) In the CRR market we saw that a martingale measure exists and it is unique;

(ii) If  $d = \infty$  (infinitely many stocks) then the theorem is not valid since there exists a market without arbitrage opportunities and without martingale measures (see [3], p.415).

(iii) If  $N = \infty$  (infinite horizon, perpetual market securities) then the theorem is not valid again since in this case there exists a market with arbitrage opportunities and with a martingale measure.

## 1.2. Complete and incomplete markets.

**1.5. Definition.** A financial market defined above on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  with a filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  and a horizon  $N$  is called complete (or  $N$ -complete) if for any  $\mathcal{F}_N$ -measurable payoff function  $f_N = f_N(\omega)$  such that  $E|\frac{f_N}{B_N}| < \infty$  there exists a self-financing trading strategy  $\pi$  with an initial capital  $x$  so that the corresponding portfolio value satisfies  $X_0^\pi = x$  and  $X_N^\pi = f_N$  i.e. any (European) contingent claim is replicable (or attainable). Otherwise the market is called incomplete.

Denote by  $\mathcal{P}_N(P)$  the set of all martingale measures for the above market with a horizon  $N$ .

**1.6. Theorem.** (*Second fundamental theorem of asset pricing*). A financial market defined above without opportunity of arbitrage and with  $d, N < \infty$  is complete if and only if  $\mathcal{P}_N(P)$  consists of one measure only.

*Proof.* a) Assume that the market is complete. Let  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}_N$  and define  $f_N(\omega) = \mathbb{I}_\Gamma(\omega)$ . By completeness of the market there exists a self-financing trading strategy  $\pi$  and an initial capital  $x$  such that  $X_0^\pi = x$  and  $X_N^\pi = f_N$ . If there exist two martingale measures  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  then  $\frac{X_n^\pi}{B_n}$  is a martingale with respect to both  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . Then for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\frac{x}{B_0} = \frac{X_0^\pi}{B_0} = E_{P_i} \frac{X_N^\pi}{B_N} = E_{P_i} \frac{\mathbb{I}_\Gamma}{B_N} = \int_{\Gamma} B_N^{-1} dP_i.$$

Hence,  $\int_{\Gamma} B_N^{-1} dP_1 = \int_{\Gamma} B_N^{-1} dP_2$  for any  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}_N$  and since  $B_N > 0$  we obtain that  $P_1 = P_2$  (check!)

b) It is more difficult to prove the other direction: if there exists only one martingale measure then any payoff function with the above integrability condition is attainable. For the full proof we refer to [1] and most of the details can be found

in [3] (see also [2]). In class we will discuss a simpler proof for the particular case of a finite probability space which can be found in Chapter 3 of [4].

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Delbaen and W. Schachermayer, *The Mathematics of Arbitrage*, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [2] H. Föllmer and A. Schied, *Stochastic finance*, 2nd. ed., de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004.
- [3] A.N. Shiryaev, *Essentials of Stochastic Finance*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1999.
- [4] R. Williams, *Introduction to the Mathematics of Finance*, AMS, Providence,