

## Examples Using Orthogonal Vectors

**Simple Example** Say you need to solve the equations

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 &= y_1 \\ x_2 - x_2 - x_3 + x_4 &= y_2 \\ -x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + x_4 &= y_3 \\ -x_1 - x_2 + x_3 + x_4 &= y_4 \end{aligned}$$

for  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$ . Rewrite this as

$$x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + x_4 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

that is,

$$x_1 V_1 + x_2 V_2 + x_3 V_3 + x_4 V_4 = Y,$$

where the  $V_j$  and  $Y$  are the obvious vectors. The key observation is that these vectors  $V_j$  are orthogonal and have length  $\|V_j\| = 2$ . It is now simple to solve the equations. Taking the inner product of both sides with  $V_1$  we get

$$x_1 \langle V_1, V_1 \rangle + x_2 \langle V_2, V_1 \rangle + x_3 \langle V_3, V_1 \rangle + x_4 \langle V_4, V_1 \rangle = \langle Y, V_1 \rangle,$$

that is,

$$x_1 \|V_1\|^2 + 0 + 0 + 0 = \langle Y, V_1 \rangle, \quad \text{so} \quad x_1 = \frac{1}{4} \langle Y, V_1 \rangle.$$

By the same procedure,

$$x_j = \frac{1}{4} \langle Y, V_j \rangle, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Not hard work at all.

While it may seem exotic (and lucky) that the vectors  $V_j$  were orthogonal, it turns out that this arises naturally – and frequently – in very important applications. For instance when Fourier series arise and in the analysis of large data sets..

### Orthogonal Projection

Let  $V$  be an inner product space (that is, a linear space with an inner product) and let  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_k$  be non-zero orthogonal vectors and let  $\mathcal{S} \subset V$  be the subspace spanned by these  $\vec{v}_j$ 's. Given a vector  $\vec{x} \in V$ , we want to write

$$\vec{x} = \vec{v} + \vec{w}, \tag{1}$$

where  $\vec{v} \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\vec{w} \perp \mathcal{S}$ . We then call  $\vec{v}$  the *orthogonal projection of  $\vec{x}$  into  $\mathcal{S}$*  and often write  $\vec{v} = P_{\mathcal{S}} \vec{x}$ .

Because we know the  $\vec{v}_j$  are an orthogonal basis for  $\mathcal{S}$ , then any vector  $\vec{v} \in \mathcal{S}$  can be written as

$$\vec{v} = a_1 \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_k \vec{v}_k$$

so we can write  $\vec{x}$  as

$$\vec{x} = (a_1 \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_k \vec{v}_k) + \vec{w}, \tag{2}$$

where  $\vec{w}$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{S}$ . This decomposes  $\vec{x}$  as the sum of two orthogonal vectors,  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  and one,  $\vec{w}$  orthogonal to  $\mathcal{S}$ . We often introduce the linear map  $P_{\mathcal{S}}$  of orthogonal projection into  $\mathcal{S}$

$$P_{\mathcal{S}}\vec{x} := \vec{v} = a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + a_k\vec{v}_k.$$

If we write  $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$  for the orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{S}$ , then  $\vec{w} = P_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}}\vec{x}$ , so

$$\vec{x} = \vec{v} + \vec{w} = P_{\mathcal{S}}\vec{x} + P_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}}\vec{x} = (a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + a_k\vec{v}_k) + \vec{w}.$$

The problem is to find the coefficients  $a_j$  and the vector  $\vec{w}$ . Easy!

Taking the inner product of both sides of equation (2) with  $\vec{v}_1$  we find that  $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{v}_1 \rangle = a_1 \langle \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_1 \rangle$  and similarly for the other  $a_j$ 's. Thus

$$a_j = \frac{\langle \vec{x}, \vec{v}_j \rangle}{\|\vec{v}_j\|^2}, \quad (3)$$

so we now know the coefficients  $a_j$  in equation (2). We can now solve equation (2) for  $\vec{w}$  and find

$$\vec{w} = \vec{x} - (a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + a_k\vec{v}_k),$$

Since the  $\vec{v}_j$ 's and  $\vec{w}$  are orthogonal, the Pythagorean theorem applied to (2) tells us that

$$\|\vec{x}\|^2 = |a_1|^2\|\vec{v}_1\|^2 + \cdots + |a_k|^2\|\vec{v}_k\|^2 + \|\vec{w}\|^2. \quad (4)$$

In particular,

$$\|\vec{w}\|^2 = \|\vec{x}\|^2 - \|P_{\mathcal{S}}\vec{x}\|^2 = \|\vec{x}\|^2 - (|a_1|^2\|\vec{v}_1\|^2 + \cdots + |a_k|^2\|\vec{v}_k\|^2) \quad (5)$$

gives the *square of the distance from  $\vec{x}$  to the subspace  $\mathcal{S}$* .

**Remark:** There are two slightly different approaches to finding the distance from a point  $\vec{x}$  to a subspace  $\mathcal{S}$ . In both approaches we end up computing

$$\text{Distance} = \|P_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}}\vec{x}\|$$

**METHOD 1** Find the orthogonal projection  $\vec{v} = P_{\mathcal{S}}\vec{x}$ . Then, as we found above, the orthogonal projection into  $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$  is  $\vec{w} = P_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}}\vec{x} = \vec{x} - P_{\mathcal{S}}\vec{x}$ .

**METHOD 2** Directly compute the orthogonal projection into  $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ . For this approach, the first step is usually to find an orthogonal basis for  $\mathcal{S}$  and then extend this as an orthogonal basis to the  $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$ . This usually involves far more computations – but there is one frequently occurring situation where it is very easy: when the dimension of  $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$  is one.

Here is an Example. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the plane in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  where  $ax_1 + bx_2 + cx_3 = 0$ . If we let  $\vec{N} = (a, b, c)$ , then the equation for the plane is simply  $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{N} \rangle = 0$ . Thus  $\vec{N}$  is an orthogonal basis for  $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$  – and one never need to even find an orthogonal basis for  $\mathcal{S}$  itself. The orthogonal projection of  $\vec{x}$  into  $\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$  is then simply

$$\vec{w} = \frac{\langle \vec{x}, \vec{N} \rangle}{\|\vec{N}\|^2} \vec{N},$$

so the length of this vector  $\vec{w}$ ,  $\frac{|\langle \vec{x}, \vec{N} \rangle|}{\|\vec{N}\|}$ , gives the distance from  $\vec{x}$  to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Example** In  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , let the subspace  $\mathcal{S}$  be the span of the vectors  $\vec{v}_1 := (1, 1, -1, -1)$  and  $\vec{v}_2 := (1, 1, 1, 1)$ .

a) Find the orthogonal projection of  $\vec{x} := (1, 2, 3, 4)$  into  $\mathcal{S}$ .

b) Find the distance from  $\vec{x}$  to the plane  $\mathcal{S}$ .

SOLUTION: (a) Note that the vectors  $\vec{v}_1$  and  $\vec{v}_2$  are an *orthogonal* basis for  $\mathcal{S}$ . We want to write

$$\vec{x} = a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + \vec{w}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\vec{w} \perp \mathcal{S}$ . Then the orthogonal projection of  $\vec{x}$  into  $\mathcal{S}$  will be

$$P_{\mathcal{S}}\vec{x} = a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2,$$

By the general strategy use above, to find  $a_1$  take the inner product of both sides of equation(6) with  $\vec{v}_1$ . Because  $\vec{v}_1$  is orthogonal to both  $\vec{v}_2$  and  $w$ , we obtain

$$\langle \vec{x}, \vec{v}_1 \rangle = a_1 \langle \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_1 \rangle \quad \text{so} \quad a_1 = \frac{\langle \vec{x}, \vec{v}_1 \rangle}{\|\vec{v}_1\|^2} = \frac{-4}{4} = -1.$$

Similarly,

$$a_2 = \frac{\langle \vec{x}, \vec{v}_2 \rangle}{\|\vec{v}_2\|^2} = \frac{10}{4} = \frac{5}{2}.$$

Using these values in equation (6) we find the projection of  $\vec{x}$  into  $\mathcal{S}$  is

$$P_{\mathcal{S}}\vec{x} = - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{5}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the projection of  $\vec{x}$  orthogonal to  $\mathcal{S}$  is

$$\vec{w} = P_{\mathcal{S}^\perp}\vec{x} = \vec{x} - P_{\mathcal{S}}\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As a check, this  $\vec{w}$  is clearly orthogonal to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

(b) Finally, using equation (5), the distance from the point  $\vec{x}$  to this subspace  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $\|\vec{w}\| = 1$ .

### Exercises

1. Find the distance between the point  $\vec{x} = (1, 2, -3, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^4$  and the subspace of points  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$  that satisfy  $x_1 - x_2 + x_3 + 2x_4 = 0$ .
2. Find the distance between the hyperplane of points  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$  that satisfy  $x_1 - x_2 + x_3 + 2x_4 = 2$  and the origin.
3. In  $\mathbb{R}^5$ , let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the subspace spanned by the vectors  $\vec{v}_1 = (1, 1, -1, 0, -1)$  and  $\vec{v}_2 = (1, 1, 1, 0, 1)$ . Find the orthogonal projection of  $\vec{x} = (1, 0, 0, 1, -1)$  into  $\mathcal{S}$  and compute the distance from  $\vec{x}$  to  $\mathcal{S}$ .
4. Find an orthogonal basis for the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  spanned by  $\vec{u}_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0)$  and  $\vec{u}_2 = (0, 1, 1, 0)$

5. Find a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  that is orthogonal to the subspace spanned by  $\vec{u}_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0)$  and  $\vec{u}_2 = (0, 1, 1, 0)$ .
6. Find an orthogonal basis for the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  spanned by  $\vec{u}_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0)$ ,  $\vec{u}_2 = (0, 1, 1, 0)$ , and  $\vec{u}_3 = (0, 0, 1, 1)$ .
7. Find an orthonormal basis for the sub-space of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  determined by  $x_1 - x_2 + x_3 - 2x_4 = 0$ .
8. Find a vector that is orthogonal to the above subspace.

### Example: Fourier Series

The essential point of this next example is that the formalism using the inner product that we have just developed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is immediately applicable in a much more general setting – with wide and important applications. We use geometric intuition from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to guide us through related ideas in infinite dimensional function spaces.

Here our linear space is  $L_2(-\pi, \pi)$  with a standard (real) inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x)g(x) dx$$

and are using the linear space

$$\mathcal{T}_N = \text{span} \{1, \cos x, \cos 2x, \dots, \cos Nx, \sin x, \dots, \sin Nx\}.$$

An *orthonormal* basis is:

$$e_0 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \quad e_1 := \frac{\cos x}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \dots, \quad e_N := \frac{\cos Nx}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \quad \epsilon_1 := \frac{\sin x}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \dots, \quad \epsilon_N := \frac{\sin Nx}{\sqrt{\pi}}.$$

We want to find the projection of a given function  $f(x)$  into  $\mathcal{T}_N$ , that is, write

$$f(x) = a_0 e_0 + (a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_N e_N) + (b_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + b_N \epsilon_N) + h_N, \quad (7)$$

where the “error,”  $h_N$ , is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{T}_N$ . This problem is *exactly* of the form of equation (2). Thus we can use all the results we obtained there.

First, we have a formula for the coefficients. This is a bit simpler here than the formula in equation (3) since  $e_k(x)$  and  $\epsilon_k(x)$  have  $\|e_k\| = \|\epsilon_k\| = 1$ .

$$a_0 = \langle f, e_0 \rangle, \quad \text{while} \quad a_k = \langle f, e_k \rangle, \quad b_k = \langle f, \epsilon_k \rangle, \quad j = .2, 3, \dots$$

Using the explicit formulas for the  $e_k$  and  $\epsilon_k$  we have

$$f(x) = a_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \sum_{k=1}^N \left[ a_k \frac{\cos kx}{\sqrt{\pi}} dx + b_k \frac{\sin kx}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right] + h_N(x), \quad (8)$$

where, as above,  $h_N$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{T}_N$ . Series of this form are called *Fourier Series*. They are a vital ingredient in today’s world, including quantum mechanics, medical imaging and your cell phone.

For the coefficients we have

$$a_0 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dx, \quad a_k = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \frac{\cos kx}{\sqrt{\pi}} dx, \quad b_k = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \frac{\sin kx}{\sqrt{\pi}} dx. \quad (9)$$

These coefficients incorporate that  $h_N(x)$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{T}_N$ . To summarize,

$$f(x) = P_{\mathcal{T}_N} f(x) + h_N(x) = a_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \sum_{k=1}^N \left[ a_k \frac{\cos kx}{\sqrt{\pi}} + b_k \frac{\sin kx}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right] + h_N(x)$$

Of course, one hopes that  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|h_N\|_{L_2(-\pi, \pi)} = 0$ . It is true for essentially all functions, certainly for all piecewise continuous functions  $f$ . The above series is called the *Fourier Series of  $f(x)$* .

The Pythagorean formula (4) gives

$$\|f\|_{L_2(-\pi, \pi)}^2 = |a_0|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^N (|a_k|^2 + |b_k|^2) + \|h_N\|_{L_2(-\pi, \pi)}^2. \quad (10)$$

Privately, I call equation (10) the ‘‘Pythagorean Theorem for Adults’’.

### Explicit Example: Fourier Series of a Square Wave

Consider the function  $f(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } -\pi < x \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } 0 < x \leq \pi \end{cases}$

We use equation (9) to compute the Fourier coefficients  $a_k$  and  $b_k$ .

Since this  $f(x)$  is an odd function, then  $f(x) \cos kx$  is also an odd function so  $a_k = 0$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ . Similarly, using that  $f(x) \sin kx$  is an even function, we have

$$b_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ \int_{-\pi}^0 (-1) \sin kx dx + \int_0^{\pi} (+1) \sin kx dx \right] = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\pi} \sin kx dx.$$

But

$$\int_0^{\pi} \sin kx dx = \frac{-\cos k\pi + 1}{k} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ \frac{2}{k} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

Therefore

$$b_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ \frac{4}{k\sqrt{\pi}} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

We now substitute this into equation (8) and write  $N = 2n + 1$  to obtain the following Fourier Series of a square wave:

$$f(x) = \frac{4}{\pi} \left[ \sin x + \frac{\sin 3x}{3} + \frac{\sin 5x}{5} + \dots + \frac{\sin(2n+1)x}{2n+1} \right] + h_{2n+1}(x).$$

Here is a graph showing how the terms in this series approximate a square wave:

<http://www.math.upenn.edu/~kazdan/312S14/notes/Fourier-SquareWave.gif>

[From Wolfram *MathWorld*]

Finally we record the Pythagorean formula (10). Since in our case  $f(x)^2 = 1$ , then  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x)^2 dx = 2\pi$  and equation (10) give

$$2\pi = \frac{16}{\pi} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{5^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{(2n+1)^2} \right] + \|h_{2n+1}\|^2.$$

With some work one can show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|h_{2n+1}\| = 0$ . This yields the surprising formula

$$\frac{\pi^2}{8} = 1 + \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{5^2} + \frac{1}{7^2} + \cdots \quad (11)$$

Subtracting

$$\frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{4^2} + \cdots \right) = \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{4^2} + \frac{1}{6^2} + \frac{1}{8^2} + \cdots$$

from

$$1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{4^2} + \cdots = \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{5^2} + \cdots \right] + \left[ \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{4^2} + \frac{1}{6^2} + \cdots \right]$$

and using equation (11), by a simple computation we obtain

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} = 1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{4^2} + \cdots$$

It is amazing that identifies like these are rather immediate consequences of the Pythagorean Theorem. Not at all obvious.

[Last revised: February 27, 2014]