

**MATH 360 –Practice Problems for Exam 1**

Due Monday, October 4, 2017

1. (a) Show that  $x^n \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x \in (-1, 1)$

(b) Show that  $\frac{x^n}{n!} \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(a) If  $|x| < 1$ , then  $\{|x^n|\}$  is a decreasing sequence (because  $|x^{n+1}| = |x||x^n| < 1 \cdot |x^n| = |x^n|$  – strict inequality unless  $x = 0$  in which case the sequence  $x^n$  is the constant sequence  $0, 0, 0, \dots$ ), bounded below by 0 (since absolute values are non-negative). Therefore the sequence of absolute values converges, say to  $L$ . If  $x \neq 0$ , then

$$L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x^n| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x^{n+1}| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x||x^n| = |x|L.$$

so  $(1 - |x|)L = 0$  and  $0 < |x| < 1$ , so  $L = 0$ . Since  $|x| \rightarrow 0$ , then  $x \rightarrow 0$  is immediate.

(b) For any  $x$ , we know that there is a positive integer  $N$  such that  $N > 2|x|$ . Therefore, if  $n > N$  then

$$\left| \frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \right| < \frac{|x|}{n+1} \left| \frac{x^n}{n!} \right| < \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{x^n}{n!} \right|.$$

So the sequence  $\left\{ \left| \frac{x^n}{n!} \right| \right\}$  is decreasing after position  $N$ , and in fact the  $N + n$ th term

$$\left| \frac{x^{N+n}}{(N+n)!} \right| < \frac{1}{2^n} \left| \frac{x^N}{N!} \right|.$$

The sequence on the right side is a constant times  $(\frac{1}{2})^n$  and so approaches zero by part (a), so the absolute value of  $x^n/n! \rightarrow 0$ , and we're done.

2. Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be two convergent sequences and suppose  $x_n < y_n$  for every  $n \geq 0$ . Prove that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n$ .

Suppose  $x_n \rightarrow L$  and  $y_n \rightarrow M$ . If  $L > M$  then choose  $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}(L - M)$ . Then there is an integer  $N$  such that  $|x_n - L| < \varepsilon$  and  $|y_n - M| < \varepsilon$  for all  $n > N$ . Therefore, for  $n > N$  we have

$$x_n > L - \varepsilon > L - \frac{L - M}{2} = \frac{L + M}{2} = M + \frac{L - M}{2} > M + \varepsilon > y_n,$$

contradicting the hypothesis that  $x_n < y_n$  for all  $n$ . Therefore  $L \leq M$ .

3. Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ . If  $S \neq \emptyset$ , and  $c = \sup S$  and  $c \notin S$ , prove that there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_n \in S$  and  $x_n \rightarrow c$ .

If  $c = \sup S$  then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $x \in S$  such that  $0 \leq c - x < \varepsilon$ , and  $c - x \neq 0$  because  $c \notin S$ . Define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  as follows: for each  $n$ , let  $x_n$  be an element of  $S$  such that  $c - x_n < \frac{1}{n}$ . Since, given any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we will have  $\frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$  for  $n$  sufficiently large (by the Archimedean property), we get  $|c - x_n| < \frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$ , so the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $c$ .

4. Let  $f := [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be monotonically increasing, i.e., if  $x \geq y$  then  $f(x) \geq f(y)$ . Show that  $\inf\{f(x) \mid x > c\} \geq f(c)$ . Then state and prove the corresponding statement for sup. Together, those two statements imply that

$$\inf\{f(x) \mid x > c\} \geq \sup\{f(x) \mid x < c\}.$$

Finally, show that  $f$  is continuous at  $c$  if and only if  $\inf\{f(x) \mid x > c\} = \sup\{f(x) \mid x < c\}$ .

Let  $S = \{f(x) \mid x > c\}$  and  $T = \{f(x) \mid x < c\}$ .

Since all the elements of  $S$  are values of the function at points  $x > c$ , we have  $s \geq f(c)$  for all  $s \in S$ . Thus  $f(c)$  is a lower bound for  $S$  and by the definition of infimum,  $\inf S$  is greater than or equal to any lower bound for  $S$ , thus  $\inf S \geq f(c)$ . Likewise, all the elements of  $T$  are values of the function at points  $x < c$ , so we have  $t \leq f(c)$  for all  $t \in T$ . Thus  $f(c)$  is an upper bound for  $T$  and by the definition of supremum,  $\sup T$  is less than or equal to any upper bound for  $T$ . Thus  $\sup T \leq f(c)$ .

For the last sentence, first suppose  $f$  is continuous at  $c$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(x) - f(c)| < \varepsilon$  provided  $|x - c| < \delta$ . By choosing  $\varepsilon_n = 1/n$  and choosing  $x_n^+$  such that  $x_n^+ > c$  and  $x_n^+ - c < \delta_n$  we get a sequence of points of  $S$  for which  $f(x_n^+) - f(c) < \frac{1}{n}$ . Therefore  $f(c) = \inf S$ .

Likewise, if we choose  $x_n^-$  such that  $x_n^- < c$  and  $c - x_n^- < \delta_n$ , we get a sequence of points of  $T$  for which  $f(c) - f(x_n^-) < \frac{1}{n}$ . Therefore  $f(c) = \sup T$ . This shows that  $\inf S = \sup T$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\inf S = \sup T$ . Since from the previous parts we have  $\sup T \leq f(c) \leq \inf S$  it follows that  $f(c) = \inf S$  and  $f(c) = \sup T$ . Now let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. We know that there is a point  $x_+ > c$  for which  $f(x_+) - f(c) = f(x_+) - \inf S < \varepsilon$ , and once you find one such point, this inequality will hold for all points between  $c$  and  $x_+$  because the function is increasing. Likewise we know there is a point  $x_- < c$  for which  $f(c) - f(x_-) = \sup T - f(x_-) > \varepsilon$  and this inequality is true for all points between  $x_-$  and  $c$ . So let  $\delta = \min\{x_+ - c, c - x_-\}$ . We've shown that if  $|x - c| < \delta$  then  $|f(x) - f(c)| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, this shows  $f$  is continuous at  $c$ .

5. Let  $f$  be twice differentiable for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and suppose  $f''(x) > 0$  for all  $x$ . Prove that  $f(x) > f(0) + f'(0)x$  for all  $x \neq 0$ .

Let  $g(x) = f(x) - (f(0) + f'(0)x)$ . Then  $g(0) = 0$  and  $g'(0) = 0$  and  $g''(x) = f''(x) > 0$  for all  $x$ . If we can show that  $g(x) > 0$  for all  $x \neq 0$  then this will imply the result.

If there is a point  $b > 0$  where  $g(b) \leq 0$  then by the mean value theorem there is a point  $c_1$  between 0 and  $b$  (so  $c_1 > 0$ ) where

$$g'(c_1) = \frac{g(b) - g(0)}{b - 0} = \frac{g(b)}{b} \leq 0.$$

But then, again by the mean value theorem, there is a point  $c_2$  between 0 and  $c_1$  where

$$g''(c_2) = \frac{g'(c_1) - g'(0)}{c_1 - 0} = \frac{g'(c_1)}{c_1} \leq 0$$

which contradicts the hypothesis that  $g''(x) > 0$  for all  $x$ .

On the other hand if there is a point  $b < 0$  where  $g(b) \leq 0$  then by the mean value theorem there is a point  $c_1$  between  $b$  and  $0$  (so  $c_1 < 0$ ) where

$$g'(c_1) = \frac{g(0) - g(b)}{0 - b} = \frac{g(b)}{b} \geq 0$$

(numerator  $\leq 0$  and denominator  $< 0$ ). But then, again by the mean value theorem, there is a point  $c_2$  between  $c_1$  and  $0$  where

$$g''(c_2) = \frac{g'(0) - g'(c_1)}{0 - c_1} = \frac{g'(c_1)}{c_1} \leq 0$$

(numerator  $\geq 0$  and denominator  $< 0$ ) which contradicts the hypothesis that  $g''(x) > 0$  for all  $x$ .

6. Let  $f$  be twice differentiable on  $(-1, 1)$  and continuous on  $[-1, 1]$  and suppose  $f(1) = f(-1) = 1$  and  $f(0) = 0$ . Show that there is a point  $c \in (-1, 1)$  where  $f''(x) \geq 2$ .

I really liked this proof from class (Jeffrey Y?): Let  $g(x) = f(x) - x^2$ . Then  $g(1) = g(0) = g(-1) = 0$ , and  $g''(x) = f''(x) - 2$ . So if we can show there is a point  $c$  where  $g''(c) \geq 0$ , then we will have  $f''(c) = g''(c) + 2 \geq 2$ . But the mean value theorem guarantees us points  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $-1 < a < -0$  and  $0 < b < 1$  where  $g'(a) = g'(b) = 0$ . And using the mean value theorem again between  $a$  and  $b$  gives us a  $c$  such that  $a < c < b$  and  $g''(c) = 0$ , and we are done.

7. (a) Let  $f$  be differentiable and suppose  $f'(x)$  is continuous on some open interval containing a point  $c$  where  $f(c) = c$ . Furthermore, suppose  $|f'(c)| < 1$ . Show that if  $x_0$  is sufficiently close to  $c$  then the sequence defined by  $x_n = f(x_{n-1})$  for all  $n \geq 1$  will converge to  $c$ . Give an estimate of how far away  $x_0$  can be from  $c$  and still have this work.

(b) Let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( x + \frac{17}{x} \right)$ , let  $x_0 = 4$  and create the sequence described in part (a).

Prove that it converges and find its limit. For which values of  $x_0$  will this work? What if you replace 17 by another (positive) number?

(c) What happens in part (a) if  $|f'(c)| > 1$ ?

(a) If  $|f'(c)| < 1$ , then in fact there is a number  $\eta < 1$  such that  $|f'(c)| \leq \eta$ . This means that there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $x$  such that  $|x - c| < \delta$  we will have  $|f(x) - f(c)| < \eta|x - c|$ . Since  $f(c) = c$  this means that  $|f(x) - c| < \eta|x - c|$ . So if we choose  $x_0$  so that  $|x_0 - c| < \delta$ , then we'll have  $|x_1 - c| = |f(x_0) - c| < \eta|x_0 - c|$ . And inductively we'll have

$$|x_n - c| < \eta^n |x_0 - c|$$

and since  $\eta < 1$ , we'll get  $\eta^n \rightarrow 0$  (by problem 1a), so  $x_n \rightarrow c$ . We can choose  $x_0$  in the interval around  $c$  where  $|f'(x)| < 1$ .

(b) Check:

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{17}{x^2} \right)$$

which is in fact less than  $\frac{1}{2}$  in absolute value if  $x > \sqrt{8.5}$ . But in fact for any positive value of  $x_0$ , we'll have

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^2 - 17 &= \frac{1}{4} \left( x_0^2 + 34 + \frac{17^2}{x_0^2} \right) - 17 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left( x_0^2 - 34 + \frac{17^2}{x_0^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left( x_0 - \frac{17}{x_0} \right)^2 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

so it follows that  $x_1$  is larger than  $\sqrt{17}$  in any case (so the sequence converges – and it will converge to  $\sqrt{17}$  by calculating the fixed point of  $f$ ). And then we have inductively that  $x_n > \sqrt{17}$  for all  $n > 0$ , and it is interesting to note that

$$x_{n+1} - \sqrt{17} = \frac{(x_n - \sqrt{17})^2}{2x_n} < \frac{(x_n - \sqrt{17})^2}{2\sqrt{17}}$$

which shows that the convergence is *quadratic* – each subsequent  $x_{n+1}$  agrees with  $\sqrt{17}$  to twice as many decimal places that  $x_n$ .

(c) If  $|f'(c)| > 1$ , the analysis of part (a) shows that  $|f(x) - c| > |x - c|$ , so the sequence of points moves farther and farther away from  $c$ .