

Symmetric polynomials

§1. Statement of the main theorem

Let R be a commutative ring, and let $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring over R in n variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$. The *elementary symmetric polynomials* in $x_1, \dots, x_n$ are defined by the equality

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i s_i T^{n-i} = T^n - s_1 T^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} s_{n-1} T + (-1)^n s_n = \prod_{j=1}^n (T - x_j)$$

in the polynomial ring $R[x_1, \dots, x_n][T]$. In other words $s_0 = 1$ and

$$s_i = s_i(\underline{x}) = s_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{I \in S_n \cdot (1, \dots, i) \subset \mathbb{N}^n} \underline{x}^I, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

where $S_n \cdot (1, \dots, i)$ is the orbit in $\mathbb{N}^n$ of the standard action of the permutation group S_n on $\mathbb{N}^n$ and $\underline{x}^I := x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$ for all $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

The group S_n operates on $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ by permuting the variables. Polynomials in $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ fixed by all elements of S_n are called *symmetric polynomials* (in variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ with coefficients in R .) Let $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$ be the subring of $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ consisting of all symmetric polynomials. Clearly S is the direct sum of all homogenous symmetric polynomials, and $s_1, \dots, s_n$ are elements of S .

The main theorem on symmetric polynomials asserts that S is a polynomial ring in $s_1, \dots, s_n$, and $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a free S -module of rank $n!$.

(1.1) THEOREM *Let R be a commutative ring, and let S be the subring of $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ consisting of all symmetric polynomials in $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.*

(a) *Let $R[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ be the polynomial ring in variables $y_1, \dots, y_n$. The R -algebra homomorphism*

$$\alpha: R[y_1, \dots, y_n] \longrightarrow S$$

which sends Y_i to s_i is an isomorphism.

(b) *The polynomial ring $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a free module of rank $n!$ over S , and the set of monomials*

$$x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \dots x_n^{i_n} \quad \text{with} \quad 0 \leq i_v \leq v-1 \quad \forall v = 1, \dots, n$$

form a set of free generators.

Recall that the *lexicographic order* on monomials in $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the linearly order on the set of all monic monomials (or *terms*) such that $x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \dots x_n^{i_n} < x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} \dots x_n^{j_n}$ if either $i_v = j_v$ for all v , or if there exists an natural number v_0 with $1 \leq v_0 \leq n$ such that $i_v = j_v$ for all $v < v_0$, and $i_{v_0} < j_{v_0}$.

§2. Proof of the main theorem 1.1

The proof of 1.1 (a) is quite easy using the lexicographic order. We will prove 1.1 (b) by induction on n . The key observation is that the R -subalgebra of $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ generated by $s_1, \dots, s_n$ and x_n is equal to the subring $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_{n-1}}$, consisting of all polynomials fixed under all permutations of the first $n-1$ variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$.

(2.1) PROOF OF 1.1 (a). The largest term in a monomial $s_1^{j_1} \cdots s_n^{j_n}$ with respect to the lexicographic ordering is

$$x_1^{j_1+j_2+\cdots+j_n} x_2^{j_2+\cdots+j_n} x_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}+j_n} x_n^{j_n}.$$

It follows that any two distinct monomials in the elementary symmetric polynomials $s_1, \dots, s_n$ have distinct highest terms. Therefore the R -algebra homomorphism α is injective.

The surjectivity of α also follows from the above consideration: Given any non-zero symmetric polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S$, there exists a unique monomial $a_J \cdot s_1^{j_1} \cdots s_n^{j_n}$ in $s_1, \dots, s_n$ with $a_J \in R$ and $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that the highest term in $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - a_J \cdot s_1^{j_1} \cdots s_n^{j_n}$ is strictly smaller than the largest term in $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. The surjectivity of α follows from induction (on the lexicographic ordering of the highest term of $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.)

(2.1.1) REMARK We could have used other linear orders of monic monomials, for instance the *degree lexicographic order*: $x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \prec x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} \cdots x_n^{j_n}$ under the degree lexicographic order if either $i_v = j_v$ for all v , or if $\sum_v i_v < \sum_v j_v$, or if $\sum_v i_v = \sum_v j_v$ and there exists a natural number v_0 with $1 \leq v_0 \leq n$ such that $i_v = j_v$ for all $v < v_0$ and $i_{v_0} < j_{v_0}$. Using the *degree lexicographic order* means that in the above proof we assume that $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is homogeneous of degree m .

(2.2) PROOF OF 1.1 (b). Let $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}$ be the elementary symmetric polynomials of $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$. We know from part (a) that $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_{n-1}} = R[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, x_n]$, a polynomial ring over R in n variables. By induction on n , we may and do assume that $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a free module over $R[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, x_n]$ of rank $(n-1)!$ with generators

$$\left\{ x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}} : 0 \leq i_v \leq v-1 \quad \forall v = 1, \dots, n-1 \right\},$$

so it suffices to show that $R[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, x_n]$ is a free module over $R[s_1, \dots, s_n]$ of rank n with free generators $\{x_n^j : 0 \leq j \leq n-1\}$. We will produce an automorphism β of the R -algebra $R[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, x_n]$ such that

$$(\dagger) \quad \beta(x_n) = x_n, \quad \beta(s_i) = t_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1$$

and $\beta(s_n)$ is a polynomial of degree n in x_n and the coefficient of x_n^n is ± 1 . The desired conclusion follows immediately from the existence of such an automorphism β .

Clearly we have

$$s_i = t_i + t_{i-1} x_n \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \quad \text{and} \quad s_n = t_{n-1} x_n.$$

An easy induction gives

$$(*) \quad t_k = (-1)^k x_n^k + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j s_{k-j} x_n^j \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

(It follows immediately that the R -subalgebra of $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ generated by $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}$ and x_n is equal to $R[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, x_n]$, but we will not use this in the rest of the proof.)

How do we construct β ? Suppose we have an R -algebra homomorphism β which satisfies condition $(\dagger)$. Apply β to the last displayed equations would then give

$$(\ddagger) \quad \beta(t_k) = (-1)^k x_n^k + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j t_{k-j} x_n^j \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

So we *define* β to be the unique $R[x_n]$ -algebra homomorphism from $R[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, x_n]$ to itself which satisfies $(\ddagger)$. An easy calculation shows that indeed β satisfies the equations $(\ddagger)$, and

$$\beta(s_n) = (-1)^{n-1}x_n^n + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} (-1)^{k-1}t_{n-k}x_n^k.$$

We have proved 1.1 (b).

(2.2.1) REMARK (a) It is quite easy to show that $R[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, x_n] = R[s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, x_n]$ is generated by $1, x_n, x_n^2, \dots, x_n^{n-1}$ as an $R[s_1, \dots, s_n]$ -module, simply because $x_n^n + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (-1)^i s_i x_n^{n-i} = 0$. Proving that there is no non-trivial linear relation between $1, x_n, x_n^2, \dots, x_n^{n-1}$ over $R[s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, x_n]$ requires more effort.

(b) We sketch an alternative proof of 1.1 (b). First prove it when $R = \mathbb{Z}$; the general case follows immediately, by taking the tensor product with R over $\mathbb{Z}$. It suffices to show that there is no non-trivial linear relation between $1, x_n, x_n^2, \dots, x_n^{n-1}$ over $\mathbb{Q}[s_1, \dots, s_n]$. There are at least two ways: one can either use the fact that $T^n + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (-1)^i s_i T^{n-i}$ is an irreducible polynomial in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[s_1, \dots, s_n, T]$, or invoke Galois theory noting that $[S_n : S_{n-1}] = n$.

(2.2.2) REMARK When R is a field, the phenomenon describe in 1.1 is the following. Starting with the monic polynomial $f(T) = T^n + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (-1)^i s_i T^{n-i}$ over the fraction field $K = R(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ of the polynomial ring $R[s_1, \dots, s_n]$, which is irreducible over K . Adjoin a root x_n of $f(T)$ to K , the polynomial $f(T)$ factors into a product $f(T) = (T - x_n) \cdot (T^{n-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^j t_j T^{n-1-j})$ over $K_1 := R(s_1, \dots, s_n, x_n) = R(x_n)(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})$. Moreover $\{1, x_n, x_n^2, \dots, x_n^{n-1}\}$ is a basis of K_1 over K . Now we can do the same thing for the polynomial $f_1(T) := T^{n-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^j t_j T^{n-1-j}$ over the field K_1 . Iterating this procedure $n - 1$ times gives us a field $K_{n-1} = R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, of degree $n!$ over K . The basis of K_1 over K obtained from this inductive procedure is the one described in theorem 1.1 (b).