

HECKE ORBITS AND CANONICAL COORDINATES

Ching-Li Chai

`chai@math.upenn.edu`

University of Pennsylvania

Modular varieties of PEL-type in char. p

- k : an algebraically closed field, $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$

Modular varieties of PEL-type in char. p

- k : an algebraically closed field, $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$
- G : a reductive algebraic group over \mathbb{Q}

Modular varieties of PEL-type in char. p

- k : an algebraically closed field, $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$
- G : a reductive algebraic group over \mathbb{Q}
- $\tilde{X} = (X_n)_{(n,p)=1}$: prime-to- p tower of modular variety of PEL-type, associated G over \mathbb{Q} , defined over k . Each X_n parametrizes abelian varieties of a fixed dimension, with pre-assigned endomorphisms and polarization type, and a level- n structure.

Modular varieties of PEL-type in char. p

- k : an algebraically closed field, $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$
- G : a reductive algebraic group over \mathbb{Q}
- $\tilde{X} = (X_n)_{(n,p)=1}$: prime-to- p tower of modular variety of PEL-type, associated G over \mathbb{Q} , defined over k . Each X_n parametrizes abelian varieties of a fixed dimension, with pre-assigned endomorphisms and polarization type, and a level- n structure.

RMK *Fine structures* occur only in char. p .

Some modular varieties

- (Siegel modular variety) $\tilde{X} = (X_n)$, $X_n = \mathcal{A}_{g,n}$,
 $(n, p) = 1$, where $\mathcal{A}_{g,n}$ = the moduli space of
 n -dimensional principally polarized abelian varieties
with symplectic level- n structure.

Some modular varieties

- (Siegel modular variety) $\tilde{X} = (X_n)$, $X_n = \mathcal{A}_{g,n}$, $(n, p) = 1$, where $\mathcal{A}_{g,n}$ = the moduli space of n -dimensional principally polarized abelian varieties with symplectic level- n structure.
- (Hilbert modular variety) $X_n = \mathcal{M}_{E,n}$, where E is a product of totally real number fields, $(n, p) = 1$, $\mathcal{M}_{E,n}$ classifies $[E : \mathbb{Q}]$ -dimensional abelian varieties, with endomorphism by \mathcal{O}_E , and an \mathcal{O}_E -linear level- n structure.

Hecke symmetries

- The group $G(\mathbb{A}_f^{(p)})$ operates on the tower \tilde{X} :

$$\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright G(\mathbb{A}_f^{(p)}) \\ \tilde{X} = (\cdots \rightarrow \underbrace{X_n \rightarrow \cdots X_0 = X}_{G(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})})_{(n,p)=1} \end{array}$$

Hecke symmetries

- The group $G(\mathbb{A}_f^{(p)})$ operates on the tower \tilde{X} :

$$\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright G(\mathbb{A}_f^{(p)}) \\ \tilde{X} = (\cdots \rightarrow \underbrace{X_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0 = X}_{G(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})})_{(n,p)=1} \end{array}$$

- On a fixed level, e.g. $X = X_0$, the symmetries from $G(\mathbb{A}_f^{(p)})$ induces *Hecke correspondences*.

Hecke orbits

DEF. $\mathcal{H}(x)$ = the prime-to- p Hecke orbits of x , $x \in X(k)$; it is a countable subset of $X(k)$.

Hecke orbits

DEF. $\mathcal{H}(x)$ = the prime-to- p Hecke orbits of x , $x \in X(k)$; it is a countable subset of $X(k)$.

EX 1. (Siegel) For $x = ([A_x, \lambda_x]) \in \mathcal{A}_g(k)$, $\mathcal{H}(x)$ consists of all $([A_y, \lambda_y])$ such that there exists a prime-to- p quasi-isogeny from A_x to A_y which preserves the polarizations. The group G is Sp_{2g} .

Hecke orbits

DEF. $\mathcal{H}(x)$ = the prime-to- p Hecke orbits of x , $x \in X(k)$; it is a countable subset of $X(k)$.

EX 1. (Siegel) For $x = ([A_x, \lambda_x]) \in \mathcal{A}_g(k)$, $\mathcal{H}(x)$ consists of all $([A_y, \lambda_y])$ such that there exists a prime-to- p quasi-isogeny from A_x to A_y which preserves the polarizations. The group G is Sp_{2g} .

EX 2. (Hilbert) For $x = [(A_x, \lambda_x)] \in \mathcal{M}_E(k)$, A_x an \mathcal{O}_E -abelian variety, $\mathcal{H}(x)$ consists of all $[(A_y, \lambda_y)]$ s.t. \exists a prime-to- p \mathcal{O}_E -linear quasi-isogeny $A_x \rightarrow A_y$ respecting the polarizations.

Barsotti-Tate groups

DEF. A *Barsotti-Tate* group (or, a p -divisible group) G over a scheme S of height h is a systems of finite locally free group schemes G_n over S , $n \geq 1$, together with inclusions

$$i_n : G_n \hookrightarrow G_{n+1},$$

such that

- G_n is killed by $p^n \forall n$

Barsotti-Tate groups

DEF. A *Barsotti-Tate* group (or, a p -divisible group) G over a scheme S of height h is a systems of finite locally free group schemes G_n over S , $n \geq 1$, together with inclusions

$$i_n : G_n \hookrightarrow G_{n+1},$$

such that

- G_n is killed by $p^n \forall n$
- G_n is locally free of rank hn over $S \forall n$

Barsotti-Tate groups

DEF. A *Barsotti-Tate* group (or, a p -divisible group) G over a scheme S of height h is a systems of finite locally free group schemes G_n over S , $n \geq 1$, together with inclusions

$$i_n : G_n \hookrightarrow G_{n+1},$$

such that

- G_n is killed by $p^n \forall n$
- G_n is locally free of rank hn over $S \forall n$
- $[p^m] : G_{n+m} \rightarrow G_n$ is faithfully flat, with G_m as its kernel, $\forall m, n$

p -divisible groups attached to abelian varieties

EX. Let $A \rightarrow S$ be an abelian scheme, $\dim(A/S) = g$.
Then the p^n -torsion subgroups $A[p^n] := \text{Ker}([p^n]_A)$ form a
BT-group $A[p^\infty]$ of height $2g$.

p -divisible groups attached to abelian varieties

EX. Let $A \rightarrow S$ be an abelian scheme, $\dim(A/S) = g$. Then the p^n -torsion subgroups $A[p^n] := \text{Ker}([p^n]_A)$ form a BT-group $A[p^\infty]$ of height $2g$.

Can recover the formal group attached to $A \rightarrow S$ if S is over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ or \mathbb{F}_p .

$A[p^\infty]$ is a form of the p -adic cohomology of A .

Slopes of BT-groups

- k : a perfect field, $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$

Slopes of BT-groups

- k : a perfect field, $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$
- G : a BT-group over k

Slopes of BT-groups

- k : a perfect field, $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$
- G : a BT-group over k

PROP. (Manin-Dieudonné) Then G is isogenous to $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m$, where each G_i is an *isoclinic* BT-group of slope μ_i , where

Slopes of BT-groups

- k : a perfect field, $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$
- G : a BT-group over k

PROP. (Manin-Dieudonné) Then G is isogenous to $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m$, where each G_i is an *isoclinic* BT-group of slope μ_i , where

$$0 \leq \mu_i = \frac{\dim(G_i)}{\text{ht}(G_i)} \leq 1.$$

and

Slopes of BT-groups

- k : a perfect field, $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$
- G : a BT-group over k

PROP. (Manin-Dieudonné) Then G is isogenous to $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m$, where each G_i is an *isoclinic* BT-group of slope μ_i , where

$$0 \leq \mu_i = \frac{\dim(G_i)}{\text{ht}(G_i)} \leq 1.$$

and

$$\text{Fr}_{p^N} : G_i \rightarrow G_i^{(p^N)} \sim p^{N\mu_i} \cdot (\text{an isom.})$$

Slopes of BT-groups

- k : a perfect field, $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$
- G : a BT-group over k

PROP. (Manin-Dieudonné) Then G is isogenous to $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m$, where each G_i is an *isoclinic* BT-group of slope μ_i , where

$$0 \leq \mu_i = \frac{\dim(G_i)}{\text{ht}(G_i)} \leq 1.$$

and

$$\text{Fr}_{p^N} : G_i \rightarrow G_i^{(p^N)} \sim p^{N\mu_i} \cdot (\text{an isom.})$$

RMK. The *slope sequence* of G is the numbers μ_i , repeated with multiplicity $\text{ht}(G_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Slopes of BT-groups

- k : a perfect field, $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$
- G : a BT-group over k

PROP. (Manin-Dieudonné) Then G is isogenous to $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m$, where each G_i is an *isoclinic* BT-group of slope μ_i , where

$$0 \leq \mu_i = \frac{\dim(G_i)}{\text{ht}(G_i)} \leq 1.$$

and

$$\text{Fr}_{p^N} : G_i \rightarrow G_i^{(p^N)} \sim p^{N\mu_i} \cdot (\text{an isom.})$$

RMK. The *slope sequence* of G is the numbers μ_i , repeated with multiplicity $\text{ht}(G_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

RMK. slope = 0 \Leftrightarrow étale; slope = 1 \Leftrightarrow multiplicative.

Dual BT-groups and abelian varieties

DEF. The Serre-dual G^t of a BT-group $G = (G_n)$ is (G_n^t) , where $G_n^t = \underline{\text{Hom}}(G_n, \mathbb{G}_m)$

Dual BT-groups and abelian varieties

DEF. The Serre-dual G^t of a BT-group $G = (G_n)$ is (G_n^t) , where $G_n^t = \underline{\text{Hom}}(G_n, \mathbb{G}_m)$

If $\xi_1 \leq \dots \leq \xi_h$ is the slope sequence of G , with multiplicity, then the slope sequence of G^t is $1 - \xi_h \leq \dots \leq 1 - \xi_1$.

Dual BT-groups and abelian varieties

DEF. The Serre-dual G^t of a BT-group $G = (G_n)$ is (G_n^t) , where $G_n^t = \underline{\text{Hom}}(G_n, \mathbb{G}_m)$

If $\xi_1 \leq \dots \leq \xi_h$ is the slope sequence of G , with multiplicity, then the slope sequence of G^t is $1 - \xi_h \leq \dots \leq 1 - \xi_1$.

If A is an abelian variety over a field $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$, the A and its dual abelian variety A^t have the same slope sequence:
 $A^t[p^\infty] \cong A[p^\infty]^t$.

Stratification by slopes

“Generic abelian” varieties in \mathcal{A}_g have slopes 0 and 1. Such abelian varieties are called *ordinary*; they form an *open dense* subset of \mathcal{A}_g .

Stratification by slopes

“Generic abelian” varieties in \mathcal{A}_g have slopes 0 and 1. Such abelian varieties are called *ordinary*; they form an *open dense* subset of \mathcal{A}_g .

An abelian variety A is *supersingular* if $A[p^\infty]$ is isoclinic of slope $\frac{1}{2}$.

Stratification by slopes

“Generic abelian” varieties in \mathcal{A}_g have slopes 0 and 1. Such abelian varieties are called *ordinary*; they form an *open dense* subset of \mathcal{A}_g .

An abelian variety A is *supersingular* if $A[p^\infty]$ is isoclinic of slope $\frac{1}{2}$.

More generally, the locus in \mathcal{A}_g with a fixed slope sequence is called a *Newton polygon stratum*.

Stratification by slopes

“Generic abelian” varieties in \mathcal{A}_g have slopes 0 and 1. Such abelian varieties are called *ordinary*; they form an *open dense* subset of \mathcal{A}_g .

An abelian variety A is *supersingular* if $A[p^\infty]$ is isoclinic of slope $\frac{1}{2}$.

More generally, the locus in \mathcal{A}_g with a fixed slope sequence is called a *Newton polygon stratum*.

The other possible slope sequences for \mathcal{A}_3 are $(0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1, 1)$, $(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$. The last NP-stratum in \mathcal{A}_3 is 3-dimensional.

Leaves in Siegel modular varieties

DEF. (Oort) For $x \in \mathcal{A}_g(k)$, denote by $\mathcal{C}(x)$ the constructible subset of \mathcal{A}_g , characterized by the following property:

Leaves in Siegel modular varieties

DEF. (Oort) For $x \in \mathcal{A}_g(k)$, denote by $\mathcal{C}(x)$ the constructible subset of \mathcal{A}_g , characterized by the following property:

a geometric point $y \in \mathcal{A}_g(k)$, is in $\mathcal{C}(x)$ iff \exists an isomorphism between $A_x[p^\infty]$ and $A_y[p^\infty]$ respecting the polarizations.

Leaves in Siegel modular varieties

DEF. (Oort) For $x \in \mathcal{A}_g(k)$, denote by $\mathcal{C}(x)$ the constructible subset of \mathcal{A}_g , characterized by the following property:

a geometric point $y \in \mathcal{A}_g(k)$, is in $\mathcal{C}(x)$ iff \exists an isomorphism between $A_x[p^\infty]$ and $A_y[p^\infty]$ respecting the polarizations.

We call $\mathcal{C}(x)$ the *leaf* on \mathcal{A}_g passing through x .

Leaves in Siegel modular varieties

DEF. (Oort) For $x \in \mathcal{A}_g(k)$, denote by $\mathcal{C}(x)$ the constructible subset of \mathcal{A}_g , characterized by the following property:

a geometric point $y \in \mathcal{A}_g(k)$, is in $\mathcal{C}(x)$ iff \exists an isomorphism between $A_x[p^\infty]$ and $A_y[p^\infty]$ respecting the polarizations.

We call $\mathcal{C}(x)$ the *leaf* on \mathcal{A}_g passing through x .

RMK. Can define leaves in other PEL-type modular varieties.

Leaves in Siegel modular varieties

DEF. (Oort) For $x \in \mathcal{A}_g(k)$, denote by $\mathcal{C}(x)$ the constructible subset of \mathcal{A}_g , characterized by the following property:

a geometric point $y \in \mathcal{A}_g(k)$, is in $\mathcal{C}(x)$ iff \exists an isomorphism between $A_x[p^\infty]$ and $A_y[p^\infty]$ respecting the polarizations.

We call $\mathcal{C}(x)$ the *leaf* on \mathcal{A}_g passing through x .

RMK. Can define leaves in other PEL-type modular varieties.

RMK. Can define leaves for any (polarized) Barsotti-Tate group over a noetherian reduced base scheme over k .

First Properties

- $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is a locally closed subscheme of \mathcal{A}_g ,

First Properties

- $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is a locally closed subscheme of \mathcal{A}_g ,
- $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is smooth over k .

First Properties

- $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is a locally closed subscheme of \mathcal{A}_g ,
- $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is smooth over k .
- $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is stable under all prime-to- p Hecke correspondences.

Examples of leaves

- The **ordinary locus** in \mathcal{A}_g is open and dense in \mathcal{A}_g ; it is a leaf.

Examples of leaves

- The **ordinary locus** in \mathcal{A}_g is open and dense in \mathcal{A}_g ; it is a leaf.
- $\dim(\mathcal{C}(x)) = 0$ iff x is **supersingular**.

Examples of leaves

- The **ordinary locus** in \mathcal{A}_g is open and dense in \mathcal{A}_g ; it is a leaf.
- $\dim(\mathcal{C}(x)) = 0$ iff x is **supersingular**.
- Every leaf in the NP-stratum with slope sequence $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$ is two-dimensional. So the leaves “have moduli”.

The Hecke orbit conjecture

CONJ. (HO): $\mathcal{H}(x)$ is Zariski dense in $\mathcal{C}(x)$.

The Hecke orbit conjecture

CONJ. (HO): $\mathcal{H}(x)$ is Zariski dense in $\mathcal{C}(x)$.

CONJ. (HO)_{ct}: $\dim(\overline{\mathcal{H}(x)}) = \dim(\mathcal{C}(x))$;

The Hecke orbit conjecture

CONJ. (HO): $\mathcal{H}(x)$ is Zariski dense in $\mathcal{C}(x)$.

CONJ. (HO)_{ct}: $\dim(\overline{\mathcal{H}(x)}) = \dim(\mathcal{C}(x))$;

equivalently, $\overline{\mathcal{H}(x)}$ contains the irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}(x)$ passing through x .

The Hecke orbit conjecture

CONJ. (HO): $\mathcal{H}(x)$ is Zariski dense in $\mathcal{C}(x)$.

CONJ. (HO)_{ct}: $\dim(\overline{\mathcal{H}(x)}) = \dim(\mathcal{C}(x))$;

equivalently, $\overline{\mathcal{H}(x)}$ contains the irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}(x)$ passing through x .

Conj. (HO)_{dc}: The prime-to- p Hecke correspondences operate transitively on the set of geometrically irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}(x)$.

REMARKS

- Clearly $(HO) \iff (HO)_{ct} + (HO)_{dc}$

REMARKS

- Clearly $(HO) \iff (HO)_{ct} + (HO)_{dc}$
- Conj. (HO) says that foliation structure on \mathcal{A}_g is determined by the Hecke symmetries.

REMARKS

- Clearly $(HO) \iff (HO)_{ct} + (HO)_{dc}$
- Conj. (HO) says that foliation structure on \mathcal{A}_g is determined by the Hecke symmetries.
- Previously known case: When A_x is an ordinary abelian variety (CLC, 1995)

REMARKS

- Clearly $(HO) \iff (HO)_{ct} + (HO)_{dc}$
- Conj. (HO) says that foliation structure on \mathcal{A}_g is determined by the Hecke symmetries.
- Previously known case: When A_x is an ordinary abelian variety (CLC, 1995)
- Similarly, have Conj (HO) for other modular varieties. Known case: PEL-type C, A_x ordinary.

Confirmed Cases of HO

THM 1. The Hecke orbit conjecture (HO) holds for the Siegel modular variety \mathcal{A}_g . In other words, every prime-to- p Hecke orbit is Zariski dense in the leaf containing it.

Confirmed Cases of HO

THM 1. The Hecke orbit conjecture (HO) holds for the Siegel modular variety \mathcal{A}_g . In other words, every prime-to- p Hecke orbit is Zariski dense in the leaf containing it.

THM 2. The Hecke orbit conjecture (HO) holds for Hilbert modular varieties.

Confirmed Cases of HO

THM 1. The Hecke orbit conjecture (HO) holds for the Siegel modular variety \mathcal{A}_g . In other words, every prime-to- p Hecke orbit is Zariski dense in the leaf containing it.

THM 2. The Hecke orbit conjecture (HO) holds for Hilbert modular varieties.

RMK. Thm 2 is used in the proof of Thm 1.

Confirmed Cases of HO

THM 1. The Hecke orbit conjecture (HO) holds for the Siegel modular variety \mathcal{A}_g . In other words, every prime-to- p Hecke orbit is Zariski dense in the leaf containing it.

THM 2. The Hecke orbit conjecture (HO) holds for Hilbert modular varieties.

RMK. Thm 2 is used in the proof of Thm 1.

RMK. The discrete part of Thm 2 is due to Chia-Fu Yu.

Confirmed Cases of HO

THM 1. The Hecke orbit conjecture (HO) holds for the Siegel modular variety \mathcal{A}_g . In other words, every prime-to- p Hecke orbit is Zariski dense in the leaf containing it.

THM 2. The Hecke orbit conjecture (HO) holds for Hilbert modular varieties.

RMK. Thm 2 is used in the proof of Thm 1.

RMK. The discrete part of Thm 2 is due to Chia-Fu Yu.

RMK. Proof of Thm 1 in planned monograph with F. Oort, proof of the cont. part of Thm 2 in preparation with C.-F. Yu.

The slope filtration over a leaf

PROP. The Barsotti-Tate group $A[p^\infty]$ over $\mathcal{C}(x)$ admits a slope filtration

$$A[p^\infty] = G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \cdots \supset G_m \supset G_{m+1} = (0)$$

such that each graded piece $H_i = G_i/G_{i+1}$ is a Barsotti-Tate group over $\mathcal{C}(x)$ with a single slope λ_i , and $\lambda_0 < \cdots < \lambda_m$.

The slope filtration over a leaf

PROP. The Barsotti-Tate group $A[p^\infty]$ over $\mathcal{C}(x)$ admits a slope filtration

$$A[p^\infty] = G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \cdots \supset G_m \supset G_{m+1} = (0)$$

such that each graded piece $H_i = G_i/G_{i+1}$ is a Barsotti-Tate group over $\mathcal{C}(x)$ with a single slope λ_i , and $\lambda_0 < \cdots < \lambda_m$.

RMK. Due to Zink when $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is a “central stream”.

The slope filtration over a leaf

PROP. The Barsotti-Tate group $A[p^\infty]$ over $\mathcal{C}(x)$ admits a slope filtration

$$A[p^\infty] = G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \cdots \supset G_m \supset G_{m+1} = (0)$$

such that each graded piece $H_i = G_i/G_{i+1}$ is a Barsotti-Tate group over $\mathcal{C}(x)$ with a single slope λ_i , and $\lambda_0 < \cdots < \lambda_m$.

RMK. Due to Zink when $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is a “central stream”.

KEY The *variation* of the slope filtration gives the *local moduli* of leaves.

The slope filtration over a leaf

PROP. The Barsotti-Tate group $A[p^\infty]$ over $\mathcal{C}(x)$ admits a slope filtration

$$A[p^\infty] = G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \cdots \supset G_m \supset G_{m+1} = (0)$$

such that each graded piece $H_i = G_i/G_{i+1}$ is a Barsotti-Tate group over $\mathcal{C}(x)$ with a single slope λ_i , and $\lambda_0 < \cdots < \lambda_m$.

RMK. Due to Zink when $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is a “central stream”.

KEY The *variation* of the slope filtration gives the *local moduli* of leaves.

Analogy: The variation of the Hodge filtration gives the local moduli of abelian varieties.

Canonical coordinates on leaves

- Motivation: Need to understand the *local structure* of leaves (i.e. the formal completion/jet space of a point) for the Hecke orbit problem.

Canonical coordinates on leaves

- Motivation: Need to understand the *local structure* of leaves (i.e. the formal completion/jet space of a point) for the Hecke orbit problem.
- Leaves are *homogeneous*; the formal completion at any two points of a leaf are isomorphic.

Canonical coordinates on leaves

- Motivation: Need to understand the *local structure* of leaves (i.e. the formal completion/jet space of a point) for the Hecke orbit problem.
- Leaves are *homogeneous*; the formal completion at any two points of a leaf are isomorphic.
- Phenomenon: The formal completion at a point of a leaf is built up from p -divisible formal groups by successive fibrations, each fiber is a p -divisible formal group.

Canonical coordinates on leaves

- Motivation: Need to understand the *local structure* of leaves (i.e. the formal completion/jet space of a point) for the Hecke orbit problem.
- Leaves are *homogeneous*; the formal completion at any two points of a leaf are isomorphic.
- Phenomenon: The formal completion at a point of a leaf is built up from p -divisible formal groups by successive fibrations, each fiber is a p -divisible formal group.
- **a perspective:** Every leaf in a PEL-type modular variety is a char. p analog of a Shimura variety; it is “homogeneous”, and has similar group-theoretic properties.

Two-slope case: unpolarized

- X, Y : isoclinic BT-groups over a field $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$, of slopes $\mu_X < \mu_Y$.

Two-slope case: unpolarized

- X, Y : isoclinic BT-groups over a field $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$, of slopes $\mu_X < \mu_Y$.
- Let $\mathcal{D}ef(X, Y) =: \mathrm{Spf}(R) =$ the local deformation space of $X \times Y$.

Two-slope case: unpolarized

- X, Y : isoclinic BT-groups over a field $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$, of slopes $\mu_X < \mu_Y$.
- Let $\mathcal{D}ef(X, Y) =: \mathrm{Spf}(R) =$ the local deformation space of $X \times Y$.
- Let $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{E}(X, Y) :=$ the extension part of the deformation space $\mathrm{Spf}(R)$, with a natural structure as a smooth formal group.

Two-slope case: unpolarized

- X, Y : isoclinic BT-groups over a field $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$, of slopes $\mu_X < \mu_Y$.
- Let $\mathcal{D}ef(X, Y) =: \mathrm{Spf}(R) =$ the local deformation space of $X \times Y$.
- Let $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{E}(X, Y) :=$ the extension part of the deformation space $\mathrm{Spf}(R)$, with a natural structure as a smooth formal group.
- Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}ef(X, Y)) \subset \mathcal{D}\mathcal{E}(X, Y)$ be the leaf in $\mathcal{D}ef(X \times Y)$ through the closed point.

Two-slope case: unpolarized

- X, Y : isoclinic BT-groups over a field $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$, of slopes $\mu_X < \mu_Y$.
- Let $\mathcal{D}ef(X, Y) =: \mathrm{Spf}(R) =$ the local deformation space of $X \times Y$.
- Let $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{E}(X, Y) :=$ the extension part of the deformation space $\mathrm{Spf}(R)$, with a natural structure as a smooth formal group.
- Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}ef(X, Y)) \subset \mathcal{D}\mathcal{E}(X, Y)$ be the leaf in $\mathcal{D}ef(X \times Y)$ through the closed point.

THM. $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}ef(X, Y))$ is the maximal p -divisible formal subgroup $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{E}(X, Y)_{\mathrm{pdiv}}$ of $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{E}(X, Y)$; it is isoclinic, of slope $\mu_Y - \mu_X$, and height $\mathrm{ht}(X) \cdot \mathrm{ht}(Y)$.

Two-slope case: polarized

NOTATION.

- X, Y : isoclinic BT-groups over $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$, of Frobenius slopes $\mu_X, \mu_Y = 1 - \mu_X, \mu_X < \mu_Y, \text{ht}(X) = \text{ht}(Y)$.

Two-slope case: polarized

NOTATION.

- X, Y : isoclinic BT-groups over $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$, of Frobenius slopes $\mu_X, \mu_Y = 1 - \mu_X, \mu_X < \mu_Y, \text{ht}(X) = \text{ht}(Y)$.
- $\lambda =$ a principal polarization of $X \times Y$

Two-slope case: polarized

NOTATION.

- X, Y : isoclinic BT-groups over $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$, of Frobenius slopes $\mu_X, \mu_Y = 1 - \mu_X, \mu_X < \mu_Y, \text{ht}(X) = \text{ht}(Y)$.
- $\lambda =$ a principal polarization of $X \times Y$
- $\mathcal{D}ef(X \times Y, \lambda) =$ local deform. space of $(X \times Y, \lambda)$

Two-slope case: polarized

NOTATION.

- X, Y : isoclinic BT-groups over $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$, of Frobenius slopes $\mu_X, \mu_Y = 1 - \mu_X, \mu_X < \mu_Y, \text{ht}(X) = \text{ht}(Y)$.
- $\lambda =$ a principal polarization of $X \times Y$
- $\mathcal{D}ef(X \times Y, \lambda) =$ local deform. space of $(X \times Y, \lambda)$
- $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}ef(X \times Y, \lambda)) :=$ the leaf in $\mathcal{D}ef(X \times Y, \lambda)$ through the closed point.

Polarized two-slope case, continued

THM. (i) The polarization λ induces an involution on $\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}$, whose fixer subscheme $\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}}$ is equal to $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Def}(X \times Y, \lambda))$.

Polarized two-slope case, continued

THM. (i) The polarization λ induces an involution on $\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}$, whose fixer subscheme $\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}}$ is equal to $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Def}(X \times Y, \lambda))$.

$$(ii) \text{ ht}(\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}}) = \frac{\text{ht}(X)(\text{ht}(X)+1)}{2}$$

Polarized two-slope case, continued

THM. (i) The polarization λ induces an involution on $\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}$, whose fixer subscheme $\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}}$ is equal to $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Def}(X \times Y, \lambda))$.

$$(ii) \text{ ht}(\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}}) = \frac{\text{ht}(X)(\text{ht}(X)+1)}{2}$$

$$(iii) \text{ dim}(\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}}) = (1 - 2\mu_X) \cdot \frac{\text{ht}(X)(\text{ht}(X)+1)}{2}$$

Polarized two-slope case, continued

THM. (i) The polarization λ induces an involution on $\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}$, whose fixer subscheme $\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}}$ is equal to $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Def}(X \times Y, \lambda))$.

(ii) $\text{ht}(\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}}) = \frac{\text{ht}(X)(\text{ht}(X)+1)}{2}$

(iii) $\dim(\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}}) = (1 - 2\mu_X) \cdot \frac{\text{ht}(X)(\text{ht}(X)+1)}{2}$

(iv) If $x = [(A_x, \lambda_x)] \in \mathcal{A}_g(k)$, $(A_x[p^\infty], \lambda_x[p^\infty]) \cong (X \times Y, \lambda)$, then

$$\mathcal{C}(x)^{/x} \cong \text{M}(\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}}).$$

Cartier-Dieudonné theory

- BT-groups with slopes $> 0 \iff$ finite dimensional commutative smooth formal groups

Cartier-Dieudonne theory

- BT-groups with slopes $> 0 \iff$ finite dimensional commutative smooth formal groups
- Cartier theory: An equivalence of categories between (comm. formal groups) and (modules over a certain non-comm. topological ring satisfying suitable conditions); it works over any commutative base ring.

Cartier-Dieudonné theory

- BT-groups with slopes $> 0 \iff$ finite dimensional commutative smooth formal groups
- Cartier theory: An equivalence of categories between (comm. formal groups) and (modules over a certain non-comm. topological ring satisfying suitable conditions); it works over any commutative base ring.
- Assume that k is a perfect field of char. p . Then the Cartier ring $\text{Cart}_p(k)$ is the completion of $W(k)[V, F]$ w.r.t. the right ideals $V^n W(k)[V, F]$.

Cartier-Dieudonne theory

- BT-groups with slopes $> 0 \iff$ finite dimensional commutative smooth formal groups
- Cartier theory: An equivalence of categories between (comm. formal groups) and (modules over a certain non-comm. topological ring satisfying suitable conditions); it works over any commutative base ring.
- Assume that k is a perfect field of char. p . Then the Cartier ring $\text{Cart}_p(k)$ is the completion of $W(k)[V, F]$ w.r.t. the right ideals $V^n W(k)[V, F]$.
- $W(k) =$ Witt vectors over k

Cartier theory continued

- $VF = FV = p, Fa = a^\sigma F, aV = Va^\sigma \forall a \in W(k)$

Cartier theory continued

- $VF = FV = p, Fa = a^\sigma F, aV = Va^\sigma \forall a \in W(k)$
- $V \leftrightarrow \text{Frob}, F \leftrightarrow \text{Ver.}$

Cartier theory continued

- $VF = FV = p, Fa = a^\sigma F, aV = Va^\sigma \forall a \in W(k)$
- $V \leftrightarrow \text{Frob}, F \leftrightarrow \text{Ver.}$
- The Cartier module $M(G)$ of a BT-group G over k is a free $W(k)$ -module of rank $\text{ht}(G)$.

Cartier theory continued

- $VF = FV = p, Fa = a^\sigma F, aV = Va^\sigma \forall a \in W(k)$
- $V \leftrightarrow \text{Frob}, F \leftrightarrow \text{Ver.}$
- The Cartier module $M(G)$ of a BT-group G over k is a free $W(k)$ -module of rank $\text{ht}(G)$.
- For an arbitrary commutative ring R over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, $\text{Cart}_p(R)$ is the V -adic completion of a ring generated by $W(R)$, V and F . In general, $VF \neq p$, and $M(G)$ is no longer a free $W(R)$ -module.

Cartier theory continued

- $VF = FV = p, Fa = a^\sigma F, aV = Va^\sigma \forall a \in W(k)$
- $V \leftrightarrow \text{Frob}, F \leftrightarrow \text{Ver.}$
- The Cartier module $M(G)$ of a BT-group G over k is a free $W(k)$ -module of rank $\text{ht}(G)$.
- For an arbitrary commutative ring R over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, $\text{Cart}_p(R)$ is the V -adic completion of a ring generated by $W(R)$, V and F . In general, $VF \neq p$, and $M(G)$ is no longer a free $W(R)$ -module.
- $M(G)$ is the set of all p -typical formal curves in the smooth formal group G .

Cartier module for leaves

- Let $M(X), M(Y)$ be the covariant Dieudonné module of X, Y respectively, over a perfect base field $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$.

Cartier module for leaves

- Let $M(X), M(Y)$ be the covariant Dieudonné module of X, Y respectively, over a perfect base field $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$.
- $K :=$ the fraction field of $W = W(k)$.

Cartier module for leaves

- Let $M(X), M(Y)$ be the covariant Dieudonné module of X, Y respectively, over a perfect base field $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$.
- $K :=$ the fraction field of $W = W(k)$.
- $H := \text{Hom}_W(M(X), M(Y))$.

Cartier module for leaves

- Let $M(X), M(Y)$ be the covariant Dieudonné module of X, Y respectively, over a perfect base field $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$.
- $K :=$ the fraction field of $W = W(k)$.
- $H := \text{Hom}_W(M(X), M(Y))$.
- $H \otimes_W K$ is a V -isocrystal: $(Fh)(m) = F(h(Vm)),$
 $(Vh)(m) = V(h(V^{-1}m)) \forall h \in H, \forall m \in M(X)$. **Note**
that $F(H) \subseteq H$.

Cartier module for leaves

- Let $M(X), M(Y)$ be the covariant Dieudonné module of X, Y respectively, over a perfect base field $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$.
- $K :=$ the fraction field of $W = W(k)$.
- $H := \text{Hom}_W(M(X), M(Y))$.
- $H \otimes_W K$ is a V -isocrystal: $(Fh)(m) = F(h(Vm)),$
 $(Vh)(m) = V(h(V^{-1}m)) \forall h \in H, \forall m \in M(X)$. Note
that $F(H) \subseteq H$.
- Let H_1 be the maximal W -submodule of H stable
under F and V .

Cartier module for leaves

- Let $M(X), M(Y)$ be the covariant Dieudonné module of X, Y respectively, over a perfect base field $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$.
- $K :=$ the fraction field of $W = W(k)$.
- $H := \text{Hom}_W(M(X), M(Y))$.
- $H \otimes_W K$ is a V -isocrystal: $(Fh)(m) = F(h(Vm)), (Vh)(m) = V(h(V^{-1}m)) \forall h \in H, \forall m \in M(X)$. Note that $F(H) \subseteq H$.
- Let H_1 be the maximal W -submodule of H stable under F and V .

THM. $M(\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}})$ is naturally isomorphic to H_1 .

Cartier theory of leaves, polarized

- Notation **as before**. Let λ be a prin. pol. on $X \times Y$.

Cartier theory of leaves, polarized

- Notation **as before**. Let λ be a prin. pol. on $X \times Y$.
- λ induces an involution on $\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}$ and on its Cartier module.

Cartier theory of leaves, polarized

- Notation **as before**. Let λ be a prin. pol. on $X \times Y$.
- λ induces an involution on $\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}$ and on its Cartier module.

THM. $M(\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}})$ is naturally isomorphic to the maximal submodule H_1^{sym} of H_1 fixed by the involution;

$$\text{rk}_W(H_1^{\text{sym}}) = \frac{\text{ht}(X)(\text{ht}(X)+1)}{2}.$$

Rigidity of subgroups of p -divisible formal groups

NOTATION

- X : a p -divisible formal group over a field $k = k^{\text{alg}} \supset \mathbb{F}_p$.

Rigidity of subgroups of p -divisible formal groups

NOTATION

- X : a p -divisible formal group over a field $k = k^{\text{alg}} \supset \mathbb{F}_p$.
- \mathbf{r}_X : the regular repr. of $\text{End}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$

Rigidity of subgroups of p -divisible formal groups

NOTATION

- X : a p -divisible formal group over a field $k = k^{\text{alg}} \supset \mathbb{F}_p$.
- \mathfrak{r}_X : the regular repr. of $\text{End}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$
- H : a connected reductive group over \mathbb{Q}_p

Rigidity of subgroups of p -divisible formal groups

NOTATION

- X : a p -divisible formal group over a field $k = k^{\text{alg}} \supset \mathbb{F}_p$.
- \mathfrak{r}_X : the regular repr. of $\text{End}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$
- H : a connected reductive group over \mathbb{Q}_p
- $\rho : H(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow (\text{End}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p)^\times$ is a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational representation of H

Rigidity of subgroups of p -divisible formal groups

NOTATION

- X : a p -divisible formal group over a field $k = k^{\text{alg}} \supset \mathbb{F}_p$.
- \mathfrak{r}_X : the regular repr. of $\text{End}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$
- H : a connected reductive group over \mathbb{Q}_p
- $\rho : H(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow (\text{End}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p)^\times$ is a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational representation of H
- $U \subset H(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is an open subgroup of $H(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that $\rho(U) \subseteq \text{End}_k(X)^\times$, so that U operates on X via ρ .

Rigidity of subgroups of p -divisible formal groups

NOTATION

- X : a p -divisible formal group over a field $k = k^{\text{alg}} \supset \mathbb{F}_p$.
- \mathbf{r}_X : the regular repr. of $\text{End}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$
- H : a connected reductive group over \mathbb{Q}_p
- $\rho : H(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow (\text{End}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p)^\times$ is a \mathbb{Q}_p -rational representation of H
- $U \subset H(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is an open subgroup of $H(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that $\rho(U) \subseteq \text{End}_k(X)^\times$, so that U operates on X via ρ .
- Z is an irreducible closed formal subscheme of X which is stable under the action of U .

Rigidity, continued

THM. Assume that $r_X \circ \rho$ does not contain the trivial representation as a subquotient. Then Z is a p -divisible formal subgroup of X .

Rigidity, continued

THM. Assume that $r_X \circ \rho$ does not contain the trivial representation as a subquotient. Then Z is a p -divisible formal subgroup of X .

RMK. A basic case is when X is a formal torus $\mathrm{Spf}(k[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_d^{\pm 1}]])$. The Thm says that if an irreducible formal subvariety Z of a formal torus is stable under $[1 + p^n]$ for some $n \geq 1$, then Z is a formal subtorus.

Proof of a special case of Conj. (HO)

Suppose that $x = [(A_x, \lambda_x)] \in \mathcal{A}_g(k)$ satisfies

- $A_x[p^\infty] \cong X \times Y$, with X, Y isoclinic, $\mu_X < \mu_Y = 1 - \mu_X$.

Proof of a special case of Conj. (HO)

Suppose that $x = [(A_x, \lambda_x)] \in \mathcal{A}_g(k)$ satisfies

- $A_x[p^\infty] \cong X \times Y$, with X, Y isoclinic, $\mu_X < \mu_Y = 1 - \mu_X$.
- $\text{End}_k(A_x) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_k(A_x[p^\infty])$

Proof of a special case of Conj. (HO)

Suppose that $x = [(A_x, \lambda_x)] \in \mathcal{A}_g(k)$ satisfies

- $A_x[p^\infty] \cong X \times Y$, with X, Y isoclinic, $\mu_X < \mu_Y = 1 - \mu_X$.
- $\text{End}_k(A_x) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_k(A_x[p^\infty])$

Then the Zariski closure $\overline{\mathcal{H}(x)}$ of the Hecke orbit of x in \mathcal{A}_g contains an irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}(x)$, i.e. Conj. (HO)_{dc} holds for x .

Proof of a special case of Conj. (HO)

Suppose that $x = [(A_x, \lambda_x)] \in \mathcal{A}_g(k)$ satisfies

- $A_x[p^\infty] \cong X \times Y$, with X, Y isoclinic, $\mu_X < \mu_Y = 1 - \mu_X$.
- $\text{End}_k(A_x) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_k(A_x[p^\infty])$

Then the Zariski closure $\overline{\mathcal{H}(x)}$ of the Hecke orbit of x in \mathcal{A}_g contains an irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}(x)$, i.e. Conj. (HO)_{dc} holds for x .

PROOF. STEP 1. (Local stabilizer subgroup principal) The completion $\overline{\mathcal{H}(x)}^{/x}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{H}(x)}$, smooth over k and closed in $\mathcal{C}(x)^{/x} = \mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}}$, is stable under the action of (the closure of) the local stabilizer subgroup of x in prime-to- p Hecke correspondences.

STEP 2. The local stabilizer subgroup U_x at x is an open subgroup of the unitary group attached to $(\text{End}_k(A_x[p^\infty]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p, \lambda_x[p^\infty])$, a semisimple algebra with involution.

Proof continued

STEP 2. The local stabilizer subgroup U_x at x is an open subgroup of the unitary group attached to $(\text{End}_k(A_x[p^\infty]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p, \lambda_x[p^\infty])$, a semisimple algebra with involution.

STEP 3. By the rigidity result, $\overline{\mathcal{H}(x)}^{\prime/x}$ is a p -divisible formal subgroup of $\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}}$.

Proof continued

STEP 2. The local stabilizer subgroup U_x at x is an open subgroup of the unitary group attached to $(\text{End}_k(A_x[p^\infty]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p, \lambda_x[p^\infty])$, a semisimple algebra with involution.

STEP 3. By the rigidity result, $\overline{\mathcal{H}(x)}^{\prime/x}$ is a p -divisible formal subgroup of $\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}}$.

STEP 4. U_x is an open subgroup of a \mathbb{Q}_p -form of GL_g .

Proof continued

STEP 2. The local stabilizer subgroup U_x at x is an open subgroup of the unitary group attached to $(\text{End}_k(A_x[p^\infty]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p, \lambda_x[p^\infty])$, a semisimple algebra with involution.

STEP 3. By the rigidity result, $\overline{\mathcal{H}(x)}^{\prime/x}$ is a p -divisible formal subgroup of $\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}}$.

STEP 4. U_x is an open subgroup of a \mathbb{Q}_p -form of GL_g .

STEP 5. The action of U_x on the Cartier module of $\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}}$ is isomorphic to the second symmetric product of the standard representation of GL_g over K^{alg} , which is *absolutely irreducible*.

Proof continued

STEP 2. The local stabilizer subgroup U_x at x is an open subgroup of the unitary group attached to $(\text{End}_k(A_x[p^\infty]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p, \lambda_x[p^\infty])$, a semisimple algebra with involution.

STEP 3. By the rigidity result, $\overline{\mathcal{H}(x)}^{/x}$ is a p -divisible formal subgroup of $\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}}$.

STEP 4. U_x is an open subgroup of a \mathbb{Q}_p -form of GL_g .

STEP 5. The action of U_x on the Cartier module of $\mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}}$ is isomorphic to the second symmetric product of the standard representation of GL_g over K^{alg} , which is *absolutely irreducible*.

STEP 6. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{H}(x)}^{/x} = \mathcal{DE}(X, Y)_{\text{pdiv}}^{\text{sym}} = \mathcal{C}(x)^{/x}$. Q.E.D.