

Semisimplicity and radical

Jacobson density theorem

M : semisimple left R -module, $D = \text{End}_R(M)$, $\phi \in \text{End}_D(M)$

\forall finite sequence $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$, $\forall \alpha \in R$ s.t. $\alpha(x_i) = \phi(x_i)$ for $i=1, \dots, n$

In other words, the image \bar{R} of R in $\text{End}_D(M)$ is a dense subring of $\text{End}_D(M)$ for the "operator topology" on $\text{End}_D(M)$, generated by the following family of open subsets

$$\left\{ U_{(a_1, \dots, a_m; b_1, \dots, b_m)} \right\}_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{N} \\ a_i, b_i \in M}} \quad U_{(a_1, \dots, a_m; b_1, \dots, b_m)} = \left\{ f \in \text{End}_D(M) \mid f(a_i) = b_i \ \forall i=1, \dots, m \right\}$$

Rmk: The version usually seen is the case when M is a simple R -module. Then $D = \text{End}_R(M)$ is a division ring, M is a left vector space over D , $\text{End}_D(M)$ is the ring of all D -linear operators on M . The density theorem says that the image of R in $\text{End}_D(M)$ is a dense subring of $\text{End}_D(M)$.

Two definitions of (simple and) semisimple rings

A. Bourbaki's definition (which we will follow):

A ring R is semi-simple if R is a semisimple left R -module

\uparrow R_S in Bourbaki's notation

R_d right R -module

A ring R is simple if it is semisimple and has exactly one isomorphism class of simple left R -modules

left ideal

Proposition 2 (consequence of this definition of semi-simplicity)

Let R be a semisimple ring (in the sense of Bourbaki)

- (1) Every left R -module is semisimple — easy $R = \bigoplus_{i \in I} J_i$ $J_i = \sum_{j \in I} e_j R e_j$ $\{L_i\}_{i \in I}$ L_i : simple left ideals mutually non-isomorphic
- (2) There exist simple left ideals L_1, \dots, L_m , mutually non-isomorphic, such that every simple left R -module is isomorphic to one of $\{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$
- (3) For $i=1, \dots, m$, let $R_i = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_i} J$. Then R_i is a two-sided ideal. Moreover $R = R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_m$
 \mathcal{J}_i : simple left ideal isomorphic to L_i

(4) $\exists e_i \in R_i$, $i=1, \dots, m$ such that $e_1 + \dots + e_m = 1$, $e_i \cdot e_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$, $e_i^2 = e_i \ \forall i$
 $R_i = e_i R e_i$ is a ring, and $R \cong R_1 \times \dots \times R_m$ as a product ring

k : a field. $M = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} k$

$R = \bigcap_{\#} \text{End}_k(M)$ = a doubly transitive k -subalg. of $\text{End}_k(M)$,

Ex

doubly transitive
 $\iff \forall u, v \in M, k\text{-lin. indep.}$
 $\iff \forall u', v' \in M$

$\exists \alpha \in R$ s.t. $\alpha(u) = u'$
 $\alpha(v) = v'$

Exer: a) Such a subring exists!

b) M is a simple R -module,
 and $\text{End}_R(M) = k$

Examples of semisimple rings:

1) V : finite dim^t vector space / k = a field

$T \in \text{End}_k(V)$. $\rightsquigarrow k[T] \subseteq \text{End}_k(V) \subseteq V$

$k[X] / (\text{min. poly of } T)$ is semisimple

$f(x)$ = a product of mutually
 distinct monic irred.
 polynomials.

2) G : a finite group
 k : a field.

$k[G]$ is semisimple

$$\iff \sum_k 1 \cdot \#G \in k^{\times} \quad \leftarrow \text{using } \int_G$$

\implies
exer

When $k = \mathbb{C}$: $k[G] \cong \prod_{i \in I} M_{n_i}(k)$
 or, k alg. closed
 $\#G \cdot 1_k \neq 0$ in k .

\downarrow bij
 {isom classes of irred. rep.}

$$k[G] \cong \prod_{i \in I} M_{n_i}(k)$$

(ρ_i, V_i) irred.

\rightarrow Every simple module is $n_i = \dim V_i$

\cong a simple module of $M_{n_i}(k) \cong M_{n_i}(k) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
 for a unique $i \in I$ \uparrow a simple ring. \uparrow $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\int_G}$ J_i

k not algebraically closed. $\#G \cdot 1_k \in k^{\times}$

$k[G] \cong \prod_{i \in I_k} M_{n_i}(D_i)$ Example 1) D_i is a field $\cong k$
 $(?) \rightarrow D_i$ is non-commutative

1) $k = \mathbb{Q}$, $G = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. $k[G] \cong k[x]/(x^3-1) \cong k \times \overbrace{k[x]}^{(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})}$

(5) Each R_i is a simple ring.

Proposition 3 Let R be a simple ring and let L be a simple left ideal. Let $D = \text{End}_R(L)$, and $R \cong L^{\oplus r}$ as left R -modules. Then $\dim_D(L) = r$ and $R \cong \text{End}_D(L) \cong M_r(D^{\text{opp}})$.

Prmk: The key is $\text{End}_R(L^{\oplus r}) \cong \text{End}_R(R_s) = R^{\text{opp}}$
 $\cong M_r(D)$

Corollary 4. Every semisimple ring is Artinian.

B. Ring theorists' definition of semisimple rings and radicals

(B1) The radical $\text{Rad}(M)$ of a left R -module M is

$$\text{Rad}(M) = \bigcap_{\substack{N \subseteq M \text{ submodule} \\ M/N \text{ simple}}} N$$

(B2) The radical $\text{Rad}(R)$ of a ring R is

$$\text{Rad}(R) = \bigcap_{\substack{M: \text{ simple} \\ \text{left } R\text{-module}}} \text{Ann}_R(M) = \bigcap_{\substack{M: \text{ semisimple} \\ \text{left } R\text{-module}}} \text{Ann}_R(M)$$

Ring theorists say a ring is semisimple if its radical is 0.

Relation between the two notions

$$R \text{ is semisimple following Bourbaki} \iff R \cong \prod_{i=1}^m M_{n_i}(D_i) \quad D_i = \text{division algebra}$$

\downarrow

$\text{Rad}(R) = (0) \iff \exists$ injective ring homomorphism

$$\iota: R \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} R_i$$

st.

$$\text{pr}_i \circ \iota: R \rightarrow R_i \text{ is surjective } \forall i \in I$$

$I =$ indexing set

$D_i =$ division ring $\forall i \in I$

$V_i =$ left D_i -modules

$R_i \subseteq \text{End}_{D_i}(V_i)$

doubly transitive subring

By defⁿ, $R_i \subseteq \text{End}_{R_i}(V_i)$ is doubly transitive if $\forall u, v \in V_i$
 linearly indep. over V_i , $\forall u', v' \in V_i$, $\exists \alpha \in R_i$ s.t. $\alpha(u) = u'$
 $\alpha(v) = v'$

Properties of radicals:

Lemma 5 (i) \forall non-zero R -module M of finite type, $\text{Rad}(M) \not\cong M$

(ii) $f: M \rightarrow M'$ R -linear $\Rightarrow f(\text{Rad}(M)) \subseteq \text{Rad}(M')$

(iii) $N \subseteq M \Rightarrow \text{Rad}(N) \subseteq \text{Rad}(M)$

$\text{Rad}(M/N) \subseteq \text{Rad}(M+N)/N$ ($\Rightarrow \text{Rad}(M/N) = \text{Rad}(M)/N$
 if $N \subseteq \text{Rad}(M)$)

(iv) $\text{Rad}(M)$ = the smallest R -submodule N s.t. $\text{Rad}(M/N) = 0$

(v) If M is of finite type, $N \subseteq M$ R -submodule and $M = N + \text{rad}(M)$,
 then $N = M$

(vi) $\text{Rad}(\prod_{i \in I} M_i) \subseteq \prod_{i \in I} \text{Rad}(M_i)$, $\text{Rad}(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Rad}(M_i)$ (Consequence of (i) and (iii) — consider M/N ; analog of Nakayama's Lemma)

Prop. 6 $M: R$ -module with a finite set of generators x_1, \dots, x_n

$y \in M$ Then $y \in \text{Rad}(M) \iff \forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$
 $\sum_{i=1}^n R \cdot (x_i + a_i y) = M$

Lemma 7. $M: R$ -module

$\text{Rad}(M) = 0 \iff \exists$ simple R -modules M_i $i \in I$ ^{possibly infinite}

and an injective R -linear map

$M \hookrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} M_i$