

04/09/2021

{ Semisimplicity: semisimple/simple rings and modules
central simple algebras

References

- follows the approach of Bourbaki, Chap. 8, 9.
↓
the chapters on semisimplicity
1. Serge Lang, Algebra, the chapters on semisimplicity
 2. Herstein, Noncommutative rings — central simple algebras (Carus Math Monograph MAA)

structural theory of non-commutative rings.
esp. Jacobson radicals.

Also Notes

Recall: Let R be a ring. A (left) R -module M is semisimple if one (hence all) of the following equiv. conditions holds)

- (i) M is a direct sum of simple R -^{sub}modules
- (ii) M is a sum of simple R -submodules
- (iii) Every R -submodule $N \subseteq M$ is a direct summand, i.e. \exists a R -submodule $N' \subseteq M$ st. $N \cap N' = \{0\}$
 $N + N' = M$
 $M = N \oplus N'$

Equivalence: Exercise (Use Zorn's Lemma)

An example of a non-semisimple module: ?

- \mathbb{Z} as a \mathbb{Z} -module \leftarrow not semi-simple

- k : a field $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in k \right\} \subseteq M_2(k)$

$M = k^2$ (column vectors)

The only R -submodules of M are: $\{0\}, M = k^2, \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : y \in k \right\}$

The only simple submodule of M is N !

- $\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}]$ p : a prime number \mathbb{F}_p : a field of char. p .

Exer Show R is NOT a simple R -module

Lemma M : simple R -module.

Then $\text{End}_R(M)$ is a division ring $\neq 0$

(Exer.) \downarrow $1_M \neq 0_M$ i.e. every $\alpha \in \text{End}_R(M)$ is an R -linear autom.

Exer Lemma M_1, M_2 simple R -modules, $M_1 \neq M_2 \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M_1, M_2) = 0$

Lemma Let D be a division ring, D "is" a left D -module.

Then i) D is a simple left D -module

ii) $\text{End}_D(D) \cong D^{\text{opp}}$

Suppose $\alpha \in \text{End}_D(D)$ $\alpha(1) \in D$

$$\forall x \in D \quad \alpha(x) = \alpha(x \cdot 1) = x \cdot \alpha(1)$$

i.e. $\alpha = r_u$ for some $u \in D$ ($u = \alpha(1)$)

$$\alpha \circ \beta(x) = \alpha(x \cdot \beta(1)) = x \cdot \beta(1) \cdot \alpha(1)$$

$$\alpha \circ \beta \rightsquigarrow \beta(1) \cdot \alpha(1)$$

Example: Assume D : a division algebra, $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$

$$\mathcal{D}^{\oplus n} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} : x_i \in D \right\} \text{ a left } D\text{-module}$$

\nearrow a semi-simple left D -module Yes! Of course

$$\text{End}_D(\underbrace{\mathcal{D}^{\oplus n}}_{D^n}) \cong M_n(\underbrace{D^{\text{opp}}}_{\text{End}_D(D)})$$

Example Let R be a ring, $M = M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_m$
 $M_i = N_i^{\oplus n_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$
 N_i : a simple R -module
 $n_i \geq 1$
and $N_i \not\cong N_j \quad \forall i \neq j$. Let $\text{End}_R(N_i) = D_i$
↑
a division ring.

$$\text{End}_R(M) \cong \text{End}_R(M_1) \times \dots \times \text{End}_R(M_m)$$

$$\cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \times \dots \times M_{n_m}(D_m)$$

\uparrow
 $i \neq j \quad \text{Hom}_R(M_i, M_j) = (0)$

Suppose k is a field contained in $Z(R)$
 $\Rightarrow k \subseteq Z(D_i)$

and $\dim_k M < \infty \Rightarrow \dim_k D_i < \infty$

Suppose moreover k is algebraically closed.
 Then $D_i = k \quad \forall i$.

Example: k is a field.

G : finite group. $\#G \cdot 1_k \neq 0_k$
 (i.e. $\#G$ is prime to $\text{char}(k)$)

Then Every $k[G]$ -module is semi-simple. (Exer!)

(Recall: $\int_G = \frac{1}{\#G} \cdot \left(\sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma \right)$ to a
Apply \uparrow
 $k[G]$)

k -linear splitting of a given short exact sequence of $k[G]$ -module to produce G -equivariant splitting.)

Def. A ring R is semisimple if

R is a direct sum of simple left R -ideals.

Remark This defⁿ uses left R -modules. If you use right R -module, the resulting concept is actually equivalent!

Thm (Jacobson's Density theorem)

Let M be a semisimple left R -module

Let $S := \text{End}_R(M)$ ($\Rightarrow M$ has a natural structure as a left S -module)

For every element $\alpha \in \text{End}_S(M)$

and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$,

there exists an element $z \in R$ s.t.

$$\alpha(x_1) = z \cdot x_1, \quad \alpha(x_n) = z \cdot x_n$$

Pf. Consider the R -module $M^{\oplus n} = \overbrace{M \oplus \dots \oplus M}^{n\text{-times}} = N$
 $\Rightarrow \text{End}_S(M)$ acts on $M^{\oplus n}$ naturally

$$\downarrow \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

The assertion is:

$$\alpha \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \begin{array}{l} R\text{-submodule} \\ \exists Q \subseteq N \end{array}$$

$$M \oplus \dots \oplus M = N = R \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n) \oplus Q$$

Let $\pi: N \longrightarrow R \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the projection w.r.t. the above direct sum decomposition.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \alpha \circ \pi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \pi \circ \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &\subseteq R \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\left[\text{Used } \text{End}_R(M^{\oplus n}) = M_n(S) \right]$$

α commutes with S

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & & \\ & \alpha & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \text{ commutes with } \underbrace{M_n(S)}_{\substack{\downarrow \\ \tau_C}}$$

QED.