

# Lecture 5 - Hausdorff and Gromov-Hausdorff Distance

August 1, 2011

## 1 Definition and Basic Properties

Given a metric space  $X$ , the set of closed sets of  $X$  supports a metric, the Hausdorff metric. If  $A$  is a set in  $X$  and  $r > 0$ , we define the  $r$ -thickening, or  $r$ -neighborhood, of  $A$  to be the set  $A^{(r)}$  defined by

$$A^{(r)} = \bigcup_{x \in A} B_x(r) \quad (1)$$

where  $B_x(r)$  is the (open) ball of radius  $r$  about  $x$ . If  $A, B \subset X$  are closed sets, define their Hausdorff distance  $d_H(A, B)$  to be the number

$$d_H(A, B) = \inf \{ r > 0 \mid B \subset A^{(r)} \text{ and } A \subset B^{(r)} \}. \quad (2)$$

Recall that the infimum of an empty set is regarded to be  $+\infty$ . A equivalent definition is as follows. Given a point  $p \in X$  and a closed set  $A \subset X$ , define

$$d(p, A) = \inf_{y \in A} \text{dist}(p, y). \quad (3)$$

Then the Hausdorff distance is

$$d_H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} d(y, A) \right\} \quad (4)$$

That is,  $d_H(A, B)$  is the farthest distance any point of  $B$  is from the set  $A$ , or the farthest any point of  $A$  is from  $B$ , whichever is greater. Again, this could be infinite.

**Theorem 1.1** *If  $(X, d)$  is a bounded metric space, the set of closed sets of  $X$  is itself a metric space with the Hausdorff metric.*

*Pf* We verify the metric space axioms. First, the symmetry of  $d_H$  is clear by definition. Second,  $d_H$  satisfies the triangle inequality because if  $C$  is in the  $r$ -neighborhood of  $B$  and

$B$  is in the  $s$ -neighborhood of  $A$ , then  $C$  is in the  $(r + s)$ -neighborhood of  $A$ . Likewise  $A$  is in the  $(r + s)$ -neighborhood of  $C$ . Thus  $d(A, C) \leq d(A, B) + d(B, C)$ . Finally  $d_H(A, B) = 0$  implies  $A \subseteq \overline{B} = B$ , because if  $B$  is in every  $r$ -neighborhood of  $A$  then every point of  $A$  is a limit point of  $B$ . Likewise  $B \subseteq \overline{A} = A$ .  $\square$

If  $X$  is not bounded, the metric space axioms continue to hold except that possibly closed sets  $A$  and  $B$  exist with  $d_H(A, B) = \infty$ . This could be rectified by restricting to compact subsets of  $X$ , although this is not natural in some cases.

## 2 Compactness Properties

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and denote the set of closed subsets of  $X$  by  $\mathfrak{C}(X)$  (or just  $\mathfrak{C}$  for short). Given a closed set  $A$  and a number  $r$ , let  $\mathfrak{B}_A(r)$  be the set of all  $D \in \mathfrak{C}$  with  $d_H(B, A) < r$  (that is, the  $r$ -ball around  $A$  in  $\mathfrak{C}$ ). Since  $d_H$  is a metric on  $\mathfrak{C}$ , we know that the balls  $\mathfrak{B}_A(r)$  are open, and form a neighborhood base.

Obviously the balls with rational radius also form a base, so the topology on  $\mathfrak{C}$  induced by  $d_H$  is first countable. All metric spaces are Hausdorff, so  $(\mathfrak{C}, d_H)$  is Hausdorff. One can state this directly: since distinct closed sets are separated by a finite distance, say  $\epsilon$ , so the balls of radius, say,  $\epsilon/4$  around each is disjoint.

**Theorem 2.1** *If  $(X, d)$  is a compact metric space, then  $(\mathfrak{C}(X), d_H)$  is compact.*

*Pf*

The proof can go as in the proof of Gromov's precompactness theorem; we leave it as an exercise.  $\square$

It should be clear that if  $(X, d)$  is non-compact, then  $(\mathfrak{C}(X), d_H)$  is non-compact. This can be seen by the existence of an obvious isometric embedding  $X \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}(X)$ , and by noting that if a sequence in  $X$  converges in  $\mathfrak{C}(X)$ , its limit must be a point, and therefore again an element of  $X$ .

If  $(X, d)$  is bounded, then it is locally compact if and only if  $(\mathfrak{C}(X), d_H)$  is locally compact. It can be proven that  $(\mathfrak{C}(X), d_H)$  is paracompact whenever  $(X, d)$  is bounded. The proofs are left as exercises.

In sharp contrast, if  $(X, d)$  is unbounded, then  $(\mathfrak{C}(X), d_H)$  need not be locally compact nor even locally paracompact. For instance if the base space  $X$  is unbounded and nondiscrete (it has the property that, given any point  $x \in X$  and any number  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a point  $y \in X$  with  $d(x, y) < \epsilon$ ), then it is not locally compact. As an example, we will show that  $\mathbb{R}$  is not locally compact. Let  $A = [0, \infty)$  be the half-line, and consider its  $r$ -neighborhood  $B_A(r)$  (wlog assume  $r < \frac{1}{2}$ ). Define the  $A_i$  inductively by setting  $A_0 = A$  and  $A_i = A_{i-1} \setminus (i, i + r/2)$ . We have  $d_H(A_i, A_j) = r/2$  for any  $i \neq j$ , so there are no Cauchy subsequences and therefore no convergent subsequences.

A topology does exist on  $\mathfrak{C}(X)$  that is both locally compact and compact, whether  $X$  is bounded or not. Let a base for this topology be set of the form  $N_{K,\epsilon}(A)$ , where  $K \subset X$  is compact,  $A \subset X$  is closed, and  $\epsilon > 0$ , where we define

$$N_{K,\epsilon}(A) = \{B \in \mathfrak{C}(X) \mid d_H(A \cap K, B \cap K) < \epsilon\}.$$

This topology on  $\mathfrak{C}(X)$  is called the *pointed Hausdorff topology*. If  $X$  is compact, it is the metric topology. If  $X$  is noncompact, this topology is not induced by any metric.

### 3 The Gromov-Hausdorff distance

The Gromov-Hausdorff distance significantly extends the idea of the Hausdorff distance. Given two closed metric spaces  $A$  and  $B$ , we define

$$d_{GH}(A, B) = \inf_{f,g} d_H(f_{A \rightarrow X}(A), g_{B \rightarrow X}(B)) \quad (5)$$

where the notation  $f_{A \rightarrow X}$  (resp.  $g_{B \rightarrow X}$ ) denotes an isometric embedding of  $A$  into some metric space  $X$  (resp. an isometric embedding of  $B$  into  $X$ ) and the infimum is taken over all possible such embeddings. Note that  $d_{GH}$  could well be infinity; however it is clearly symmetric. To show that  $d_{GH}(A, B) = 0$  iff  $A$  and  $B$  are isometric, we first give an equivalent definition of  $d_{GH}$ .

**Proposition 3.1** *The Gromov-Hausdorff distance  $d_{GH}(X, Y)$  is the infimum of the Hausdorff distances between  $X$  and  $Y$  taken among all metrics on  $X \amalg Y$  that restrict to the given metrics on  $X$  and on  $Y$ .*

Pf Exercise. □

**Proposition 3.2** *If  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  are metric spaces that admit compact exhaustions, and that  $d_{GH}(X, Y) = 0$ . Then  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  are isometric.*

Pf

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are isometric then clearly  $d_{GH}(X, Y) = 0$ .

Conversely assume  $d_{GH}(X, Y) = 0$ , and for the moment assume  $X$  and  $Y$  are compact. Then there is a sequence of distance functions  $d_i$  on  $X \amalg Y$  with  $d_i|_X = d_X$  and  $d_i|_Y = d_Y$  so that  $d_{i,H}(X, Y) \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\epsilon_j > 0$  be a sequence that converges to 0. For each  $j$  construct finite sets of points  $\mathcal{X}_j = \{x_k\}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_j = \{y_k\}$  with the following properties:  $\mathcal{X}_j$  is  $\epsilon_j$ -dense in  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}_j$  is  $\epsilon_j$ -dense in  $Y$ , and for large enough  $i$  the sets  $\mathcal{X}_j$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_j$  are  $\epsilon_j$ -close in the Hausdorff metric. We also require that  $\mathcal{X}_j \subset \mathcal{X}_{j+1}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_j \subset \mathcal{Y}_{j+1}$ , so that  $\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_j \mathcal{X}_j$  is dense in  $X$  and  $\mathcal{Y} = \bigcup_j \mathcal{Y}_j$  is dense in  $Y$ .

Now consider the distance functions  $\{d_i\}$  restricted to  $\mathcal{X}_j \cup \mathcal{X}_j$ . Because  $\mathcal{X}_j \cup \mathcal{X}_j$  is finite, a subsequence  $d_{i_j}$  converges to a limiting pseudometric  $\bar{d}_j$ . Passing to refined subsequences as  $j$  increases and taking a diagonal subsequence, we get convergence to a pseudometric  $\bar{d}$  on  $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y}$ , a dense subset of  $X \amalg Y$ , and therefore convergence on  $X \amalg Y$ .

Given any  $\epsilon_j$ , a given point  $x \in X$  is  $\epsilon_j$ -close to a point  $x_j \in \mathcal{X}$ , which is  $\epsilon_j$ -close to a point of  $y_j \in \mathcal{Y}$ . Taking a limit  $y = \lim_j y_j$  we have that  $\bar{d}(x, y) = 0$ ; because  $X$  is a metric space, this point  $x$  is unique (similarly given a point  $y \in Y$  we can find a unique point  $x \in X$  with  $\bar{d}(x, y) = 0$ ). Finally send  $x$  to the unique point  $y \in Y$  with  $\bar{d}(x, y) = 0$ .

The fact that this is an isometry follows from the triangle inequality: if  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  are sent to  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ , respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(x_1, x_2) &= \bar{d}(x_1, x_2) \leq \bar{d}(x_1, y_1) + \bar{d}(y_1, y_2) + \bar{d}(y_2, x_2) = \bar{d}_Y(y_1, y_2) \\ d_Y(y_1, y_2) &= \bar{d}(y_1, y_2) \leq \bar{d}(y_1, x_1) + \bar{d}(x_1, x_2) + \bar{d}(x_2, y_2) = \bar{d}_X(x_1, x_2), \end{aligned}$$

so that  $d_X(x_1, x_2) = d_Y(y_1, y_2)$ . □

## 4 Gromov-Hausdorff Approximations

We mention what is often a more useful formulation of the Gromov-Hausdorff distance. A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  (not necessarily continuous) between metric spaces is called an  $\epsilon$ -GHA (for ‘‘Gromov-Hausdorff approximation’’) if  $|d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) - d_X(x_1, x_2)| < \epsilon$  for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , and  $Y$  is in the  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of  $f(X)$ . We can define a new distance function between metric spaces, called  $\widehat{d}_{GH}$ , by setting

$$\widehat{d}_{GH}(X, Y) = \inf\{\epsilon > 0 \mid \text{there are } \epsilon\text{-GHA's } f : X \rightarrow Y \text{ and } g : Y \rightarrow X\}.$$

It is a simple exercise to prove that this is a metric: if there is an  $\epsilon_1$ -GHA  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and an  $\epsilon_2$ -GHA  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ , then the composition satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &|d_Z(gf(x_1), gf(x_2)) - d_X(x_1, x_2)| \\ &\leq |d_Z(gf(x_1), gf(x_2)) - d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2))| + |d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) - d_X(x_1, x_2)| \\ &\leq \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 \end{aligned}$$

and it is also easy to show that the  $(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)$ -neighborhood of  $fg(X)$  is  $Z$ . Taking infima, we have that  $\widehat{d}_{GH}(X, Z) \leq \widehat{d}_{GH}(X, Y) + \widehat{d}_{GH}(Y, Z)$ .

**Proposition 4.1** *The metrics  $\widehat{d}_{GH}$  and  $d_{GH}$  are equivalent (though they are not the same).*

*Proof.* Exercise. □

## 5 Compactness Properties

**Proposition 5.1** *The Gromov-Hausdorff topology on the set of compact metric spaces is second countable.*

*Pf* Exercise. (Hint: If a topology is Hausdorff and separable it is second countable.)  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2 (Gromov's Precompactness Lemma)** *Let  $N : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be monotonic. Assume  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a collection of metric spaces so that each  $M \in \mathfrak{M}$  has a  $\frac{1}{j}$ -dense discrete subset of cardinality  $\leq N(j)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M}$  is precompact.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{M_i\} \subset \mathfrak{M}$ , and let  $\tilde{M}_{i,j} \subset M_i$  be a  $\frac{1}{j}$ -dense subset of cardinality  $\leq N(j)$ . By replacing  $N(j)$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^j N(i)$  we can assume that  $\tilde{M}_{i,j} \subset \tilde{M}_{i,j+l}$ . Fixing  $j$  and letting  $i \rightarrow \infty$  we get convergence of  $\tilde{M}_{i,j}$  along a subsequence to a space  $\tilde{M}_j$ . Passing to further refinements of the subsequence and taking a diagonal sequence, we get a sequence of distance functions  $d_k$  that converge on each  $\tilde{M}_j$ , and therefore on  $\tilde{M} = \bigcup_j \tilde{M}_j$ . Now given  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an  $i$  so that  $\tilde{M}_i$  is  $\epsilon$ -close to  $\tilde{M}$ , and there is a  $j$  so that  $M_{i,j}$  is  $\epsilon$ -close to both  $\tilde{M}_i$  and to  $M_i$ . Thus  $M_i$  converges to  $\tilde{M}$ .  $\square$

In general the topology associated to the Gromov-Hausdorff distance is neither locally compact nor locally paracompact. To redress this we define the *pointed Gromov-Hausdorff topology*, which is locally compact and compact. On the space of compact metric spaces, this will be the same as the original Gromov-Hausdorff topology. However the pointed topology is not induced by any norm.

The pointed Gromov-Hausdorff topology is defined on the set of pointed metric spaces (defined to be pairs  $(A, p, d)$  where  $(A, d)$  is a closed metric space and  $p \in A$ ). A local base for this topology are the sets of the form  $N_{K,\epsilon}(A)$  (where  $A$  is closed,  $K \subset A$  is compact and  $p \in K$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ ); we define  $N_{K,\epsilon}(A)$  to be the set of pointed closed sets  $(B, q)$  so that there exists a compact subset  $J \subset B$ ,  $q \in J$ , and so that there are isometric embeddings  $f : A \cap K \rightarrow X$  and  $g : B \cap J \rightarrow X$  into some space  $X$  so that  $f(p) = g(q)$  and the Hausdorff distance satisfies  $d_H(f(A \cap K), g(B \cap J)) < \epsilon$ .

## 6 Exercises

- 1) Give an example of a bounded metric space that is not locally compact.
- 2) Prove that if  $(X, d)$  is bounded, then it is locally compact iff  $(\mathfrak{C}(X), d_H)$  is locally compact.
- 3) Prove that if  $(X, d)$  is bounded, then  $(\mathfrak{C}(X), d_H)$  is paracompact.

- 4) Let  $(\mathbb{R}, d)$  be the real line with the standard metric. Construct an uncountable discrete subset of  $(\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{R}), d_H)$ .
- 5) Prove that if  $(\mathbb{R}, d)$  is the real line with the standard metric, the topology induced by  $(\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{R}), d_H)$  is not locally paracompact (note that  $d_H$  is not a metric on  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{R})$ ).
- 6) Prove Theorem 2.1, namely that a compact metric space induces a compact Hausdorff metric.
- 7) Prove Theorem 4.1, namely that  $\widehat{d_{GH}}$  is equivalent to  $d_{GH}$ .
- 8) Prove that the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff topology is not second countable.
- 9) In a previous lecture, we constructed a sequence of metrics  $g_\delta$  on a nilmanifold  $\Gamma \backslash N$ , where  $N$  was the Heisenberg group and  $\Gamma$  was the integer lattice. Prove that given any  $\epsilon$ , there is a  $\delta$  so that the map  $\Gamma \backslash N \mapsto pt$  is an  $\epsilon$ -GHA.
- 10) In a previous lecture, we constructed a family of metrics  $g_t$ , the Berger metrics, on the manifold  $\mathbb{S}^3$ . Prove that the Hopf map  $\mathbb{S}^3 \mapsto \mathbb{S}^2$  induces a  $\pi t$ -GHA from  $(\mathbb{S}^3, g_t)$  to  $(\mathbb{S}^2, 4g_{\mathbb{S}^2})$  where  $g_{\mathbb{S}^2}$  is the standard metric on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ .