J. E. HUMPHREYS, [1] Modular representations of classical Lie algebras and semisimple groups, J. Algebra 19, 51-79 (1971).
[2] Ordinary and modular representations of Chevalley groups, Lect. Notes in Math. 528, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag, 1976.
N. JACOBSON, [1] Lie Algebras, New York-London: Wiley Interscience, 1962.
[2] Exceptional Lie Algebras, New York Marcel Dekker, 1971.

[2] Kontravariante Formen auf induzierten Darstellungen halbeinfacher Lie-Algebren, Math. Ann. 226, 53-65 (1977). JANTZEN, [1] Zur Charakterformel gewisser Darstellungen halbeinfacher Gruppen und Lie-Algebren, Math. Z. 140, 127-149 (1974).

. У. G KAC, [1] Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and Dedekind's η-function, Fundal i Prilozen. 8, no. 1, 77-78 (1974) = Functional Anal. Appl. 8, 68-70 (1974) Funkcional.

I. KAPLANSKY, [1] Lie Algebras and Locally Compact Groups, Chicago-London: U. Chicago

Press, 1971.

U. KLIMYK, [1] Decomposition of a tensor product of irreducible representations of a semisimple Lie algebra into a direct sum of irreducible representations, Amer. Math. Soc. Translations, Series 2, vol. 76, Providence: Amer. Math. Soc. 1968.

[2] Groups over Z, Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. IX, Providence: Amer. Math. Soc., 1966. [1] A formula for the multiplicity of a weight, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 93

M. I. KRUSEMEYER, [1] Determining multiplicities of dominant weights in irreducible Lie algebra representations using a computer, BIT 11, 310-316 (1971).
 F. W. Lemer, [1] Existence of weight space decompositions for irreducible representations of simple Lie algebras, Canad. Math. Bull. 14, 113-115 (1971).

R. D. POLLACK, [1] Introduction to Lie Algebras, notes by G. Edwards, Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Math., No. 23, Kingston, Ont.: Queen's University, 1969.
 H. Samelson, [1] Notes on Lie Algebras, Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematical Studies No.

R. D. SCHAFER, [1] An Introduction to Nonassociative Algebras, New York-London: Academic Press, 1966. H. Samelson, [1] Notes on Lie Algebras, Van Nost 23, New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1969.

G. B. SELIGANN, [1] Modular Lie Algebras, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 40, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag, 1967.
[2] Rational Methods in Lie Algebras, New York-Basel: Marcel Dekker, 1976.
Sémipaire "Sophus Lie", [1] Theorie des algebres de Lie. Topologie des groupes de Lie, Paris: Ecole Norm. Sup., 1954-55.
J.-P. Serre, [1] Lie Algebras and Lie Groups, New York: W. A. Benjamin, 1965.
[2] Algebras de Lie semi-simples complexes, New York: W. A. Benjamin, 1966.
N. N. SHAPOVALOV, [1] On a bilinear form on the universal enveloping algebra of a complex semisimple Lie algebra, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. 6, no. 4, 65-70 (1972) = Functional Anal. Appl. 6, 307-312 (1972).
T. A. CENNICOR 111 WANTE ALMOSTER FORMULA For alreable in groupe formula for alreable in groupe.

R. STEINBERG, [1] A general Clebsch-Gordan theorem, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 67, 406-407 T. A. Springer, [1] Weyl's character formula for algebraic groups, Invent. Math. 5, 85-105

[2] Lectures on Chevalley groups, mimeographed lecture notes, New Haven, Conn.: Yale Univ. Math. Dept. 1968.

J. Tirs, [1] Sur les constantes de structure et le théorème d'existence des algèbres de Lie semi-simples, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 31, 21-58 (1966).
V. S. VARADARAMAN, [1] Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and their Representations, Englewood Cliffs, S. VARADARAMAN, [1] Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and their Representations. NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1974.

D.-N. Verma, [1] Structure of certain induced representations of complex semi-simple Lie algebras, Yale Univ., dissertation, 1966; cf. Bull Amer. Math. Soc. 74, 160-166 (1968).
[2] Möbius inversion for the Bruhat ordering on a Weyl group, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 4e serie, t.4, 293-398 (1971).

[3] Role of affine Weyl groups in the representation theory of algebraic Chevalley groups and their Lie algebras, pp. 653-705 in: Lie Groups and their Representations, ed. I. M. Gelfland, New York: Halsted, 1975.
D. J. WINTER, [1] Abstract Lie Algebras, Cambridge, Mass.: M.I.T. Press, 1972.
W. J. WONG, [1] Irreducible modular representations of finite Chevalley groups, J. Algebra 20, 355-367 (1972).

Afterword (1994)

I were starting today from scratch, I would certainly do some things-large prints and errors pointed out by patient readers. The most substantial change commonly used than δ to denote the half-sum of positive roots. But with many was the addition of the appendix to §24 at the time of the second printing. If copies of the book already in circulation, I have been reluctant to disturb the and small—differently. In the area of notation, for example, ρ is now more Each reprinting of this text has given me an opportunity to correct mis-

somewhat flexible in any case when approaching the literature. ing notational schemes (especially for root systems). So the student has to be labelled $L(\lambda)$. Of course, Lie theory is a sprawling subject, with many conflictare usually denoted $M(\lambda)$ rather than $Z(\lambda)$, while the irreducible quotient is modules", the universal ones being called "Verma modules". Verma modules In place of "standard cyclic modules" one now speaks of "highest weight have long since been replaced by other conventions in most of the literature Weyl. However, some of the ad hoc terminology and notation I introduced being aimed primarily at the classical finite dimensional theory of Cartan and representation theory. The foundations laid here in Chapter VI are still valid little over the past 25 years, there has been an explosion of new work in While the structure theory developed in Chapters I-V has evolved very

semisimple Lie algebras apology for omissions, here are some of the subjects most closely related to documented in the annual subject index of Mathematical Reviews. With due core. While it is impossible in a page or two to survey these developments whatever one's ulterior motive for studying it. But readers should be aware of of simple Lie algebras by Dynkin diagrams—is beautiful in its own right, books rather than to the multitude of original articles; the latter are well adequately, a quick overview may be useful. References below are mainly to the far-reaching developments of recent decades that rely in some way on this theory, in a purely algebraic setting. This theory—especially the classification The present text contains much of the standard core of semisimple Lie

The BGG category \emptyset . This consists of finitely-generated weight modules on modules and irreducible highest weight modules $L(\lambda)$ for arbitrary λ , as well J. C. Jantzen, Moduln mit einem höchsten Gewicht, Lect. Notes in Mathe BGG reciprocity along with the Jantzen filtration and sum formula: see as projective and injective objects. Besides the BGG resolution of a finite which a fixed Borel subalgebra acts locally finitely. It includes Verma matics 750, Springer-Verlag, 1979. derivation of Weyl's character formula presented here, one encounters dimensional $L(\lambda)$ by Verma modules, which makes more concrete the

- Kazhdan-Lusztig conjectures. A conjectured character formula for all L(\lambda) appeared in the seminal paper by D. A. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras, Invent. Math. 53 (1979), 165–184. This formula was quickly proved (independently) by Beilinson-Bernstein and by Brylinski-Kashiwara, using a dazzling array of techniques. The Hecke algebra approach has become extremely influential in several kinds of representation theory.
- Primitive ideals in enveloping algebras. Combining noncommutative ring theory and algebraic geometry with the representation theory of semisimple Lie algebras yields deep results on the structure of universal enveloping algebras. See J. Dixmier, Algebres enveloppantes, Gauthier-Villars, 1974, and J. C. Jantzen, Einhüllende Algebren halbeinfacher Lie-Algebren, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- Lie group representations. Lie algebra techniques indicated above have led
 to decisive progress in many areas of the representation theory of semisimple (or reductive) Lie groups, See for example D. A. Vogan, Jr., Representations of Real Reductive Lie Groups, Birkhäuser, 1981.
- Representations of algebraic groups. Much of the theory of semisimple Lie algebras can be adapted to semisimple algebraic groups in arbitrary characteristic. Representations in characteristic p are somewhat like infinite dimensional representations in characteristic 0. See J. C. Jantzen, Representations of Algebraic Groups, Academic Press, 1987.
- Finite groups of Lie type. Lie theory is essential to understanding the structure of these groups, as well as their ordinary and modular representations. See R. W. Carter, Finite Groups of Lie Type: Conjugacy Classes and Complex Characters, Wiley, 1985.
- Kac-Moody Lie algebras and vertex operators. The Serre relations of §18 lead to new classes of infinite dimensional Lie algebras, when the Cartan matrix is replaced by a "generalized Cartan matrix". These Kac-Moody Lie algebras and their representations interact deeply with mathematical physics, combinatorics, modular functions, etc. See V. G. Kac, Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras, 3rd edition, Cambridge University Press, 1990, and I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, A. Meurman, Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, Academic Press, 1988.
- Quantum groups. Since the pioneering work of Drinfeld and Jimbo in the mid-eighties, quantized enveloping algebras have become ubiquitous in mathematics and mathematical physics. See G. Lusztig, Introduction to Quantum Groups, Birkhäuser, 1993, and J. Fuchs, Affine Lie Algebras and Quantum Groups, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- algebras, root systems and root lattices along with related Coxeter groups such as Weyl groups play an essential role in many areas: Macdonald formulas, quivers and representations of finite dimensional algebras, singularities, crystals and quasi-crystals, etc. See for example J. H. Conway, N. J. Sloane, Sphere Packings, Lattices, and Groups, Springer-Verlag, 1993.

Index of Terminology

commutator completely reducible module contragredient module convolution	oup Theorem algebra dan formula roots	a a a		canonical map Cartan decomposition Cartan integer Cartan matrix	base of root system Borel subalgebra bracket	α-string through β α-string through μ abelian Lie algebra abstract Jordan decomposition adjoint Chevalley group adjoint representation admissible lattice ad-nilpotent ad-semisimple affine n-space algebra associative bilinear form automorphism	
_	150, 1 1 1 87, 1		27,	39,	<u> </u>	39, 45 114 4 24 150 4 159 125 132 14 159 127 24 24 24 24 28 8	
25 25 26 135	163 127 2 2 126 154	128 7 129 124 124 149 147	80 20 118 104 6	7 35 55	47 83 1, 2	, 45 1114 4 24 150 4 1159 112 24 132 4 21 21	
Harish-Chandra's Theorem height highest weight 32,	general linear algebra general linear group generators and relations graph automorphism group ring	Freudenthal's formula fundamental domain fundamental dominant weight fundamental group fundamental Weyl chamber	faithful representation flag formal character free Lie algebra	Engel subalgebra Engel's Theorem epimorphism equivalent representations exceptional Lie algebras	dual module dual root system Dynkin diagram	degree derivation derived algebra derived series descending central series diagonal automorphism diagonal matrices diagonal matrices diagram automorphism diagram automorphism direct sum of Lie algebras dominant weight dominant integral linear function	
130 47 5, 70, 108	2 2 95 66, 87 124	122 52 67 68 49	27 13 124 94	79 12 7 25 102		139 14 6 10 11 11 87 87 66 67	ı

negative root nilpoteut endomorphism		minimal weight module (for Lie algebra)	32,	maximal toral subalgebra	lower central series	long root	nt	linked weights	Lie's Theorem	Lie algebra		lattice 64,		Kostant's Theorem	ξΩ	ction	Killing form		Jacobi identity Jordan-Chevalley decomposition		isomorphism (of root systems)	isomorphism (of L-modules)	isomorphism (of Lie algebras)	irreducible set	irreducible module	inverse root system	invariant polynomial function	integral linear function	inner automorphism	induced module	ideal		hyperplane	homomorphism (of Lie algebras)	homogeneous symmetric tensor
47 8	7	72 25	108	بر بر	=	53	99	129	16	—	51	157		156	138	136	21		1 17		4 3	25	_ ;	1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 2	43	126	<u> </u>	ي د	109	6	i	3 4) 7	90
simple Lie algebra simple reflection simple root	semisimple part Serre's Theorem short root	semisimple Lie algebra	self-normalizing subalgebra	scalar matrices Schur's Lemma	saturated set of weights		root system	root space decomposition	root lattice	representation	regular semisimple element	regular	reflection		reductive Lié algebra	reduced	rank (of Lie algebra)	radical (of Lie algebra)	radical (of bilinear form)	quotient Lie algebra		positive root	polynomial function	Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem	PBW basis	partition function	narabolic suhalpehra	outer derivation	orthogonal matrix	orthogonal algebra	octonion algebra	normalizer	non-reduced root system	ndpotent part	algebr
51 47	17, 24 99 53	11	; 7	5 26	70		42	35	67	ž 00	80	48	42	42	30 107	43	86	11	22	7		47	126, 133		92	136	88	4	10	ω,	104	7	3 20	17,	a 11

tensor algebra tensor product of modules	singular skew-symmetric tensor solvable Lie algebra special linear algebra special linear group standard Borel subalgebra standard parabolic subalgebra standard parabolic subalgebra standard set of generators Steinberg's formula strictly upper triangular matrices strongly ad-nilpotent strongly dominant weight structure constants subalgebra (of Lie algebra) support symmetric algebra symmetric tensor symplectic algebra
89 26	48 1117 10 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 108 88 44 1108 88 87 44 141 141 141 141 141 141 141 141 141
Zariski topology	toral subalgebra trace trace trace trace polynomial universal Casimir element universal Chevalley group universal enveloping algebra upper triangular matrices weight weight lattice weight space Weyl chamber Weyl function Weyl group Weyl's formulas Weyl's Theorem (complete reducibility)
133	171 35 2 2 128 118 161 90 31, 67, 107 31, 107 49 136 43 139