Topics in Causal Inference

DRP Final Presentation

Omkar A. Katta April 30, 2020

II. Methods and Challenges

III. Frontier

CORRELATION IS NOT CAUSATION.

- Outcome Y
- Possible Cause X
- $\Delta X \rightarrow \Delta Y$?
- ceteris paribus all else equal
- The changes in Y can only be attributed to the differences in X.

- Treatment Indicator: $D_i = \mathbb{1}(i \text{ is treated})$
- $Y_i(1)$ is outcome if *i* is treated.
- $Y_i(0)$ is outcome if *i* is untreated.
- We want: $\mathbb{E}(Y_i(1) Y_i(0))$ ceteris paribus
- Fundamental Problem of Causal Inference

$$Y_i = D_i Y_i(1) + (1 - D_i) Y_i(0) = Y_i(D_i).$$

II. Methods and Challenges

III. Frontier

• Randomization

. . .

- Differences-in-Differences
 - Find a *counterfactual*.
 - First difference: washes out systematic differences.
 - Second difference: average causal effect.
- Regression Discontinuity Design
 - An exogeneous shock
 - E.g., merit-based scholarship, SAT cutoffs
- Instrumental Variables, Structural Models, Propensity Score Matching,

- We cannot randomize.
- Selection Bias
- Omitted Variable Bias
- Simultaneity
- Violation of Stable Unit Treatment Value Assumption (SUTVA)
- Quantification of Uncertainty

II. Methods and Challenges

III. Frontier

Frontier

- Is randomization all that great?
- Dynamic Potential Outcomes Model
- Non-parametric and Semi-parametric designs
- Machine Learning, Network Theory
 - 1. unsupervised learning: heterogeneous treatment effects
 - 2. prediction techniques: synthetic control
 - 3. Big Data: finite population uncertainty
 - 4. networks model interference effects: relax SUTVA and adopt NIA
 - 5. model-driven vs. data-driven ; standard errors and statistical properties

II. Methods and Challenges

III. Frontier

References

- Abadie, Alberto and Javier Gardeazabal (2003). "The economic costs of conflict: A case study of the Basque Country". In: *American economic review* 93.1, pp. 113–132.
- Abadie, Alberto et al. (2014). Finite population causal standard errors. Tech. rep. National Bureau of Economic Research.
 - Athey, S and GW Imbens (2019). "Machine Learning Methods Economists Should Know About". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv: 1903.10075*.
- Athey, Susan (2015). "Machine learning and causal inference for policy evaluation". In: *Proceedings of the 21th ACM SIGKDD international* conference on knowledge discovery and data mining, pp. 5–6.
 - Bertrand, Marianne, Esther Duflo, and Sendhil Mullainathan (2004).
 "How much should we trust differences-in-differences estimates?" In: The Quarterly journal of economics 119.1, pp. 249–275.

References II

- Chalfin, Aaron et al. (2016). "Productivity and selection of human capital with machine learning". In: American Economic Review 106.5, pp. 124–27.
- Chandler, Dana, Steven D Levitt, and John A List (2011). "Predicting and preventing shootings among at-risk youth". In: *American Economic Review* 101.3, pp. 288–92.
- Einav, Liran and Jonathan Levin (2014). "Economics in the age of big data". In: Science 346.6210, p. 1243089.
- Jagadeesan, Ravi, Natesh Pillai, and Alexander Volfovsky (2017). "Designs for estimating the treatment effect in networks with interference". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.08524*.
- Mullainathan, Sendhil and Jann Spiess (2017). "Machine learning: an applied econometric approach". In: *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 31.2, pp. 87–106.

- Sussman, Daniel L and Edoardo M Airoldi (2017). "Elements of estimation theory for causal effects in the presence of network interference". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.03578*.
- Torgovitsky, Alexander (2019). "Nonparametric inference on state dependence in unemployment". In: Econometrica 87.5, pp. 1475–1505.