# Hölder spaces lecture notes

#### Brian Krummel

#### January 26, 2016

## 1 Motivation

Over the next few lectures we want to establish an "regularity and compactness theory" for solutions to elliptic equations. By a regularity theory I mean theorem(s) stating that the regularity of a solution follows from the regularity of the coefficients, inhomogeneous term f, and other data. By a compactness theory I mean theorem(s) stating that given a sequence of solutions to elliptic equations and appropriate bounds, there exists a convergent subsequence. This will correspond to estimates called the Schauder estimates.

The simplest such theorem that one might imagine is that if u is a reasonable solution to an elliptic equation Lu = f in the unit ball  $B_1(0)$  and the coefficients and f are all continuous, then  $u \in C^2(B_{1/2}(0))$  and

$$|u||_{C^2(B_{1/2}(0))} \equiv \sum_{|\alpha| \le 2} \sup_{B_{1/2}(0)} |D^{\alpha}u| \le C \left( \sup_{B_1(0)} |u| + \sup_{B_1(0)} |f| \right)$$

for some constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$  depending only on n and L. Such a theorem is false!

Additionally, we know that given a sequence  $\{u_j\}$  of  $C^2$  functions (say solutions to elliptic equations) with  $\sup_j ||u_j||_{C^2(B_{1/2}(0))} < \infty$ , then it is possible that  $\{u_j\}$  converges to a function that is not in  $C^2$ . However, if we additionally showed that  $\{u_j\}$  is equicontinuous, then we could apply Arzela-Ascoli to extract a subsequence of  $\{u_j\}$  converging to a  $C^2$  function *i* uniformly, and moreover the derivatives up to order two also converge uniformly.

Thus we will introduce a subset of  $C^k(\Omega)$  known as Hölder spaces.

# 2 $C^{k,\mu}$ functions

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $k \ge 0$  be an integer, and  $\mu \in (0, 1]$ . Given a function  $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ , we let

$$[u]_{\mu,\Omega} = \sup_{x,y \in \Omega, \, x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{\mu}}.$$

We can regard  $[u]_{\mu,\Omega}$  as a measure of the modulus of continuity of u. In the special case that  $\mu = 1$  and u is Lipschitz,  $[u]_{1,\Omega}$  is the Lipschitz constant of u:

$$[u]_{1,\Omega} = \operatorname{Lip} u = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\Omega} |Du|.$$

Given functions  $u, v : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$[u+v]_{\mu;\Omega} \le [u]_{\mu;\Omega} + [v]_{\mu;\Omega} \quad [uv]_{\mu;\Omega} \le \sup_{\Omega} |u| [v]_{\mu;\Omega} + [u]_{\mu;\Omega} \sup_{\Omega} |v|.$$

Recall that  $C^k(\Omega)$  is the space of all functions  $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $D^{\alpha}u$  exists and are continuous on  $\Omega$  whenever  $|\alpha| \leq k$ . We define

$$C^{k,\mu}(\Omega) = \{ u \in C^k(\Omega) : [D^{\alpha}u]_{\mu,\Omega'} < \infty \text{ whenever} |\alpha| \le k \text{ and } \Omega' \subset \subset \Omega \},\$$

where  $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$  means that  $\Omega'$  is an open subset of  $\Omega$  whose closure  $\overline{\Omega'}$  is compact. Note that in this definition of  $C^{k,\mu}(\Omega)$  we do not say anything about the behavior of  $u \in C^{k,\mu}(\Omega)$  at the boundary of  $\Omega$  or at infinity, we only control the local modulus of continuity of  $D^{\alpha}u$  in  $\Omega$  for  $|\alpha| \leq k$ .

We let  $C_c^k(\Omega)$  denote the set of  $u \in C^k(\Omega)$  such that for some compact set  $K \subset \Omega$ , u = 0 on  $\Omega \setminus K$ . Similarly, we let  $C_c^{k,\mu}(\Omega)$  denote the set of  $u \in C^{k,\mu}(\Omega)$  such that for some compact set  $K \subset \Omega$ , u = 0 on  $\Omega \setminus K$ .

We define  $C^k(\overline{\Omega})$  to be the set of  $u \in C^k(\Omega)$  such that  $D^{\alpha}u$  extends to continuous functions on  $\overline{\Omega}$  whenever  $|\alpha| \leq k$ . As a slight abuse of notation, we will let  $D^{\alpha}u$  denote the extension of  $D^{\alpha}u$  to  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Note that if  $u \in C^{k,\mu}(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $\Omega$  is a  $C^1$  domain, then for every  $x \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \leq k-1$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can choose  $\delta > 0$  such that the following holds true. There exists a  $C^1$  diffeomorphism  $\Psi: B_{\rho}(x) \to \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\Psi(x) = 0, \quad D\Psi(x) = I_n, \quad |D\Psi(x) - I_n| \le 1/2, \quad \Psi(B_\rho(x) \cap \Omega) \subseteq \{x \in B_1(0) : x_n > 0\}$$

(where  $I_m$  denotes the  $m \times m$  identity matrix); for example, translate x to the origin and rotate so that

$$B_{\rho}(0) \cap \Omega = B_{\rho}(0) \cap \{(x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} : x_n > \psi(x')\}$$

for some  $C^1$  function  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $\psi(0) = 0$ ,  $D\psi(0) = 0$ ,  $|D\psi|$  is small and let  $\Psi(x', x_n) = (x', x_n - \psi(x'))$ . Given  $h \in B_{\rho}(0)$  with  $x + h \in \overline{\Omega}$ , let  $\gamma(t) = \Psi^{-1}(t\Psi(x+h))$ . By the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\alpha}u(x+h) - D^{\alpha}u(x) - DD^{\alpha}u(x) \cdot h| &= \left| \int_{0}^{1} DD^{\alpha}u(\gamma(t)) \cdot \gamma'(t)dt - \int_{0}^{1} DD^{\alpha}u(x) \cdot \gamma'(t)dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{1} |DD^{\alpha}u(x+th) - DD^{\alpha}u(x)||\gamma'(t)|dt \\ &\leq 4|DD^{\alpha}u(x+th) - DD^{\alpha}u(x)||h| < \varepsilon|h|, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that

$$|\gamma'(t)| = |D\Psi^{-1}(t\Psi(x+h)) \cdot \Psi(x+h)| \le |D\Psi^{-1}(t\Psi(x+h))||\Psi(x+h) - \Psi(x)| \le 4|h|,$$

so  $DD^{\alpha}u(x)$  is the derivative of  $D^{\alpha}u$  at every  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$  even when  $x \in \partial\Omega$ .

We let

$$C^{k,\mu}(\overline{\Omega}) = \{ u \in C^k(\overline{\Omega}) : [D^{\alpha}u]_{\mu,\Omega} < \infty \}.$$

Given any open set  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and integer  $k \ge 0$ , we can let

$$||u||_{C^k(\Omega)} = |u|_{k;\Omega} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} \sup_{\Omega} |D^{\alpha}u|$$

for all  $u \in C^k(\Omega)$ . Additionally given  $\mu \in (0, 1]$ , we can let

$$||u||_{C^{k,\mu}(\Omega)} = |u|_{k,\mu;\Omega} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} |D^{\alpha}u|_{0;\Omega} + \sum_{|\alpha| = k} [D^{\alpha}u]_{\mu,\Omega}$$

for all  $u \in C^k(\Omega)$ . (Note that at the moment this is just notation and I say nothing about whether  $||u||_{C^k(\Omega)}$  or  $||u||_{C^{k,\mu}(\Omega)}$  are finite.) It is convenient to define a scale invariant "norms" by

$$||u||'_{C^{k}(\Omega)} = |u|'_{k;\Omega} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} (d/2)^{|\alpha|} |D^{\alpha}u|_{0;\Omega},$$
  
$$||u||'_{C^{k,\mu}(\Omega)} = |u|'_{k,\mu;\Omega} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} (d/2)^{|\alpha|} |D^{\alpha}u|_{0;\Omega} + \sum_{|\alpha| = k} (d/2)^{k+\mu} [D^{\alpha}u]_{\mu,\Omega}.$$

where  $d = \operatorname{diam} \Omega$  (for example, if  $\Omega = B_R(x_0)$  is a ball then d/2 = R is the radius of the ball). It is easily checked that if  $u \in C^k(B_R(x_0))$  and  $\tilde{u}(x) = u(x_0 + Rx)$ , then

$$|u|'_{k,\mu;B_R(x_0)} = |\tilde{u}|_{k,\mu;B_1(0)}.$$

We say for  $u_j, u \in C^k(\Omega)$  that  $u_j \to u$  in  $C^k(\Omega)$  if  $D^{\alpha}u_j \to D^{\alpha}u$  uniformly in  $\Omega'$  whenever  $|\alpha| \leq k$  and  $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$ . Similarly we say for  $u_j, u \in C^k(\overline{\Omega})$  that  $u_j \to u$  in  $C^k(\overline{\Omega})$  if  $D^{\alpha}u_j \to D^{\alpha}u$  uniformly in  $\Omega$  whenever  $|\alpha| \leq k$ .

Note that the spaces  $C^{k,\mu}(\Omega)$  are nested in the sense that if  $0 < \mu < \tau \leq 1$  then  $C^{k,\tau}(\Omega) \subset C^{k,\mu}(\Omega)$  since if  $u \in C^{k,\tau}(\Omega)$  and  $\Omega' \subset \Omega$  then

$$[D^{\alpha}u]_{\mu,\Omega'} = \sup_{\substack{x,y\in\Omega', x\neq y}} \frac{|D^{\alpha}u(x) - D^{\alpha}u(y)|}{|x-y|^{\mu}}$$
$$= \sup_{\substack{x,y\in\Omega', x\neq y}} \frac{|D^{\alpha}u(x) - D^{\alpha}u(y)|}{|x-y|^{\tau}} \cdot |x-y|^{\tau-\mu}$$
$$\leq \operatorname{diam}(\Omega')^{\tau-\mu} [D^{\alpha}u]_{\tau,\Omega'} < \infty.$$

Similarly, if  $\Omega$  is a bounded  $C^{k,\tau}$  domain and  $0 < \mu < \tau \leq 1$  then  $C^{k,\mu}(\overline{\Omega}) \subset C^{k,\tau}(\overline{\Omega})$ .

## **3** Compactness theorems

As was claimed previously, Arzela-Ascoli yields compactness theorems for Hölder spaces:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $k \ge 0$ , and  $\mu \in (0, 1]$ . Given a sequence of  $u_j \in C^{k,\mu}(\Omega)$  such that

$$\sup_{j} |u_j|_{k,\mu;\Omega'} < \infty \text{ for all } \Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$$

there exists a subsequence  $\{u_{j'}\}$  of  $\{u_j\}$  and a function  $u \in C^{k,\mu}(\Omega)$  such that  $u_j \to u$  in  $C^k(\Omega)$ . (Note that we do not claim that  $u_j \to u$  in  $C^{k,\mu}(\Omega)$ , i.e.  $|u_j - u|_{k,\mu;\Omega'} \to 0$  for all  $\Omega \subset \subset \Omega$ .)

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded, open,  $C^1$  domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $k \ge 0$ , and  $\mu \in (0, 1]$ . Given a sequence of  $u_j \in C^{k,\mu}(\overline{\Omega})$  such that

$$\sup_{j} |u_j|_{k,\mu;\Omega} < \infty \tag{1}$$

there exists a subsequence  $\{u_{j'}\}$  of  $\{u_j\}$  and a function  $u \in C^{k,\mu}(\overline{\Omega})$  such that  $u_j \to u$  in  $C^k(\overline{\Omega})$ . (Note that we do not claim that  $u_j \to u$  in  $C^{k,\mu}(\overline{\Omega})$ , i.e.  $|u_j - u|_{k,\mu;\Omega} \to 0$ .) The proofs are similar so let's prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let

$$\Lambda = \sup_{j} |u_j|_{k,\mu;\Omega}.$$

By (1), for  $|\alpha| \leq k$ , the sequence  $\{D^{\alpha}u_j\}$  is pointwise uniformly bounded on  $\overline{\Omega}$  as  $\sup_{\Omega} |D^{\alpha}u_j| \leq \Lambda < \infty$ . For  $|\alpha| < k$ ,  $\{D^{\alpha}u_j\}$  is also equicontinuous on  $\overline{\Omega}$  since  $[D^{\alpha}u_j]_{1;\Omega} = \sup_{\Omega} |DD^{\alpha}u_j| \leq \Lambda < \infty$ . To see this, observe that since  $\Omega$  is a  $C^1$  domain, for every  $y \in \partial\Omega$  there exists a  $\rho_y > 0$  and  $C^1$  diffeomorphism  $\Psi_y : B_{\rho_y}(y) \to \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\Psi_y(y) = 0, \quad D\Psi_y(y) = I_n, \quad |D\Psi_y(x) - I_n| \le 1/2, \quad \Psi(B_{\rho_y}(y) \cap \Omega) \subseteq \{x \in B_1(0) : x_n > 0\}.$$

 $\{D^{\alpha}u_j \circ \Psi_y^{-1}\}\$  is equicontinuous on  $B_{\rho_y/2}(y) \cap \{x \in B_1(0) : x_n > 0\}\$  since given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta = \delta(y) > 0$  independent of j such that

$$|(D^{\alpha}u_j \circ \Psi_y^{-1})(z) - (D^{\alpha}u_j \circ \Psi_y^{-1})(z')| \le \sup |DD^{\alpha}u_j| \sup |D\Psi_y^{-1}||z - z'| \le 2\Lambda\delta < \varepsilon$$

for all  $z, z' \in B_{\rho_y/2}(y) \cap \{x \in B_1(0) : x_n > 0\}$  with  $|z - z'| < \delta$  and for all j. Hence  $\{D^{\alpha}u_j\}$  is equicontinuous on  $B_{\rho_y/4}(y) \cap \Omega$ . Cover  $\partial\Omega$  by a finite collection of balls  $\{B_{\rho_{y_k}/8}(y_k)\}$  where  $y_k \in \partial\Omega$  and let  $\rho = \min_k \rho_{y_k}$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta \in (0, \rho/16)$  independent of j such that

$$|D^{\alpha}u_j(z) - D^{\alpha}u_j(z')| \le \sup |DD^{\alpha}u_j||z - z'| \le \Lambda\delta < \varepsilon$$

for all  $z, z' \in \Omega$  with  $\operatorname{dist}(z, \partial\Omega) > \rho/16$  with  $|z - z'| < \delta$  and for all j. Combining this with  $\{D^{\alpha}u_j\}$  being equicontinuous on each  $B_{\rho_{y_k}/4}(y_k) \cap \Omega$ ,  $\{D^{\alpha}u_j\}$  is equicontinuous on  $\Omega$ . For  $|\alpha| = k$ , the sequence  $\{D^{\alpha}u_j\}$  is equicontinuous since  $[D^{\alpha}u_j]_{\mu;\Omega} \leq \Lambda < \infty$  and thus given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can choose  $\delta > 0$  independent of j that

$$|D^{\alpha}u_j(z) - D^{\alpha}u_j(z')| \le \Lambda \delta^{\mu} < \varepsilon$$

for all  $z, z' \in \Omega$  with  $|z - z'| < \delta$  and j. Therefore, for  $|\alpha| \leq k$ , by Arzela-Ascoli we can pass to a subsequence of  $\{D^{\alpha}u_j\}$  that converges uniformly to some continuous function  $v_{\alpha} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ .

For  $k \geq 1$  we need to check that  $v_{\alpha} = D^{\alpha}u$  for all  $\alpha$ . By the fundamental theorem of calculus and (1), for every  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| = k - 1$ ,  $x \in \Omega$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can choose  $\delta > 0$  independent of jsuch that  $B_{\delta}(x) \subset \subset \Omega$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| D^{\alpha}u_{j}(x+h) - D^{\alpha}u_{j}(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i}D^{\alpha}u_{j}(x)h_{i} \right| &= \left| \int_{0}^{1} DD^{\alpha}u_{j}(x+th) \cdot hdt - DD^{\alpha}u_{j}(x) \cdot h \right| \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{1} |DD^{\alpha}u_{j}(x+th) - DD^{\alpha}u_{j}(x)||h|dt \\ &\leq [DD^{\alpha}u_{j}]_{\mu;\Omega}|h|^{1+\mu} \\ &\leq \Lambda|h|^{1+\mu} < \varepsilon|h| \end{aligned}$$

for all h with  $|h| < \delta$  and j. Similarly when  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \le k - 2$ ,  $x \in \Omega$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can choose  $\delta > 0$  independent of j such that  $B_{\delta}(x) \subset \subset \Omega$  and

$$\left| D^{\alpha} u_j(x+h) - D^{\alpha} u_j(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n D_i D^{\alpha} u_j(x) h_i \right| \le \sup_{\Omega} |D^2 D^{\alpha} u_j| |h|^2 \le \Lambda |h|^2 < \varepsilon |h|$$

for all h with  $|h| < \delta$  and j. Letting  $j \to \infty$ ,

$$\left| v_{\alpha}(x+h) - v_{\alpha}(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{\alpha+e_i}(x)h_i \right| < \varepsilon |h|,$$

where  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$  is the standard basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and thus  $\alpha + e_i$  denotes the multi-index in which we replace  $\alpha_i$  by  $\alpha_i + 1$ . Therefore  $D_i v_{\alpha} = v_{\alpha+e_i}$  for all  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \leq k-1$  and  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ .

Finally we need to check that  $[D^{\alpha}u]_{\mu;\Omega} < \infty$  for  $|\alpha| = k$ . By (1),

$$|D^{\alpha}u_j(x) - D^{\alpha}u_j(y)| \le \Lambda |x - y|^{\mu}$$

for all  $x, y \in \Omega$  and j. Letting  $j \to \infty$ , using merely the fact that  $D^{\alpha}u_j \to D^{\alpha}u$  uniformly in  $\Omega$ ,

$$|D^{\alpha}u(x) - D^{\alpha}u(y)| \le \Lambda |x - y|^{\mu}$$

for all  $x, y \in \Omega$ .

## 4 Interpolation

**Theorem 3.** Let k and l be integers such that  $1 \le k \le l$  and  $\mu \in (0,1]$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for every  $u \in C^{l,\mu}(\overline{B_R(0)})$ ,

$$R^{k}|D^{k}u|_{0;B_{R}(0)} \leq C|u|_{0;B_{R}(0)} + \varepsilon R^{l+\mu}[D^{l}u]_{\mu;B_{R}(0)}$$
(2)

for some constant  $C = C(\varepsilon, k, l, \mu) \in (0, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* Obviously we may rescale as to assume that R = 1. The rest of the proof will be an exercise.

### 5 Extension theorems

Define  $\mathbb{R}^n_+ = \{x : x_n > 0\}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n_- = \{x : x_n < 0\}$ . For R > 0, let  $B^+_R = B_R(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+$  and  $B^-_R = B_R(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_-$ .

**Theorem 4** (Extension Lemma). Let  $k \ge 1$  be an integer and  $\mu \in (0,1]$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded  $C^{k,\mu}$  domain and let  $\Omega'$  be an open set containing  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Then every function  $u \in C^{k,\mu}(\Omega)$  has an extension  $\overline{u} \in C_c^{k,\mu}(\Omega')$  such that  $\overline{u} = u$  on  $\Omega$  and  $|\overline{u}|_{k,\mu,\Omega'} \le C|u|_{k,\mu,\Omega}$  for some constant  $C = C(n, k, \mu, \Omega, \Omega') \in (0, \infty)$  independent of u.

*Proof.* Since  $\Omega$  is a  $C^{k,\mu}$  domain, for every  $\xi \in \partial \Omega$ , there is a  $\delta_{\xi} > 0$  and  $C^k$  diffeomorphism  $\Psi_{\xi} : B_{\delta_{\xi}}(\xi) \to \Psi_{\xi}(B_{\delta_{\xi}}(\xi)) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\Psi_{\xi}(\Omega \cap B_{\delta_{\xi}}(\xi)) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}_{+},$$
  
$$\Psi_{\xi}(\partial \Omega \cap B_{\delta_{\xi}}(\xi)) \subseteq \{(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : x_{n} = 0\}.$$

We may assume  $B_{\delta(\xi)}(\xi) \subset \Omega'$ ,  $\Psi_{\xi}(\xi) = 0$ , and  $B_1^+(0) \subseteq \Psi_{\xi}(B_{\delta_{\xi}}(\xi))$ .

	1
	L

Let  $\tilde{u}_{\xi} = u \circ \Psi_{\xi}^{-1}$  on  $B_1^+(0)$ . We extend  $\tilde{u}_{\xi}$  to all of  $B_1(0)$  by letting

$$\tilde{u}_{\xi}(x', x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} c_j \tilde{u}_{\xi}(x', -x_n/j)$$

for  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in B_1(0)$  with  $x_n < 0$ , where  $x' = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$  and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} c_j (-1/j)^m = 1 \text{ for } m = 0, \dots, k.$$

The  $c_j$  are the unique solution to a linear system with a Vandermonde matrix. We compute for all  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \leq k$  and all  $x = (x', x_n) \in B_1(0)$  with  $x_n \leq 0$  that

$$D^{\alpha}\tilde{u}_{\xi}(x',x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} c_j (-1/j)^{\alpha_n} D^{\alpha}\tilde{u}_{\xi}(x',-x_n/j)$$

and in particular when  $x_n = 0$ ,

$$D^{\alpha}\tilde{u}_{\xi}(x',0) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} c_j (-1/j)^{\alpha_n} D^{\alpha}\tilde{u}_{\xi}(x',0) = D^{\alpha}\tilde{u}_{\xi}(x',0),$$

so  $\tilde{u}_{\xi} \in C^k(B_1(0))$ . (Note that all this really shows is that  $D^{\alpha}\tilde{u}_{\xi}$  is continuous across  $B_1(0) \cap \{x_n = 0\}$ , not quite that  $\tilde{u}_{\xi}$  is continuously differentiable up to order k at points in  $B_1(0)$ . However,  $\tilde{u}_{\xi} \in C^{k,\mu}(B_1^+(0))$  and the reflection of  $\tilde{u}_{\xi}$  across  $\{x_n = 0\}$  is consequently in  $C^{k,\mu}(B_1^-(0))$ , so it follows from our discussion in Section 2 above that  $\tilde{u}_{\xi}$  is continuously differentiable up to order k on  $B_1(0)$ .) Also, for every  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| = k$  and every  $x = (x', x_n)$  and  $y = (y', y_n)$  in  $B_1^-(0)$ ,

$$\begin{split} |D^{\alpha}u(x',x_n) - D^{\alpha}u(y',y_n)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} c_j (1/j)^{\alpha_n} |D^{\alpha}\tilde{u}_{\xi}(x',-x_n/j) - D^{\alpha}\tilde{u}_{\xi}(y',-y_n/j)| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} c_j (1/j)^{\alpha_n} [D^{\alpha}\tilde{u}_{\xi}]_{\mu;B_1^+(0)} (|x'-y'|^{\mu} + |x_n - y_n|^{\mu}/j) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} c_j [D^{\alpha}\tilde{u}_{\xi}]_{\mu;B_1^+(0)} |x-y|^{\mu}, \end{split}$$

so  $[D^{\alpha}\tilde{u}_{\xi}]_{k,\mu,B_{1}^{-}(0)} \leq C[D^{\alpha}\tilde{u}_{\xi}]_{k,\mu,B_{1}^{+}(0)}$  for  $C = C(n,k,\mu) \in (0,\infty)$ . It readily follows that  $\tilde{u}_{\xi} \in C^{k,\mu}(B_{1}(0))$  and  $|\tilde{u}_{\xi}|_{k,\mu;B_{1}(0)} \leq C|\tilde{u}_{\xi}|_{k,\mu,B_{1}^{+}(0)}$  for  $C = C(n,k,\mu) \in (0,\infty)$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{u}_{\xi} \circ \Psi_{\xi}$  is an extension of u to  $\Psi_{\xi}^{-1}(B_{1}(0))$  with  $|\tilde{u}_{\xi} \circ \Psi_{\xi}|_{k,\mu;\Psi_{\xi}^{-1}(B_{1}(0))} \leq C|u|_{k,\mu;\Omega}$ .

Find a finite subcover  $\{V_i = \Psi_{\underline{\xi}_i}^{-1}(B_1(0)) : i = 1, \ldots, N\}$  of  $\partial\Omega$ , where  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N \in \partial\Omega$ . Then  $\{V_i : i = 1, 2, \ldots, N\} \cup \{\Omega\}$  covers  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Find a partition of unity  $\chi_i$  subordinate to  $\{V_i\} \cup \{\Omega\}$ ; that is, find  $\chi_i \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega')$  such that  $\chi_0 = 0$  on  $\Omega' \setminus \Omega$ ,  $\chi_i = 0$  on  $\Omega \setminus V_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ , and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \chi_i = 1 \text{ on } \overline{\Omega}.$$

Let  $\bar{u}_i$  denote the extension of u to  $V_i$  constructed in the preview paragraph. Define

$$\bar{u} = \chi_0 u + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \chi_i \bar{u}_i \text{ on } \Omega'.$$

Obviously,

$$\bar{u} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \chi_i u = u \text{ on } \Omega.$$

and  $|\bar{u}|_{k,\mu,\Omega'} \leq C|u|_{k,\mu,\Omega}$  for  $C = C(n,k,\mu,\Omega,\Omega') > 0$ .

Note that what the proof of the Extension Theorem shows is that there exists a bounded linear extension operator

$$E: C^{k,\mu}(\overline{\Omega}) \to C^{k,\mu}_c(\Omega')$$

with Eu = u on  $\overline{\Omega}$  for every integer  $k \ge 1$ ,  $\mu \in (0, 1]$ , bounded  $C^{k,\mu}$  domain  $\Omega$ , and open set  $\Omega'$  containing  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Thus if  $R : C_c^{k,\mu}(\Omega') \to C^{k,\mu}(\overline{\Omega})$  is the restriction operator  $Ru = u|_{\Omega}$ , then  $R \circ E$  is the identity map.

We also have the following extension theorem for  $\varphi \in C^{k,\mu}(\partial\Omega)$  in the case that  $\Omega$  is a  $C^{k,\mu}$ domain. Recall that since  $\Omega$  is a  $C^{k,\mu}$  domain,  $\partial\Omega$  is a  $C^{k,\mu}$ , (n-1)-dimensional submanifold since for every  $\xi \in \partial\Omega$ , there is a  $\delta_{\xi} > 0$  and  $C^k$  diffeomorphism  $\Psi_{\xi} : B_{\delta_{\xi}}(\xi) \to \Psi_{\xi}(B_{\delta_{\xi}}(\xi)) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\Psi_{\xi}(\partial\Omega \cap B_{\delta_{\xi}}(\xi)) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}.$$

Thus by  $\varphi \in C^{k,\mu}(\partial\Omega)$  we mean that  $\varphi \circ (\Psi_{\xi}|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}})^{-1}$  is in  $C^{k,\mu}$  for all  $\xi \in \partial\Omega$  (note that the choice of  $\Psi_{\xi}$  is irrelevant by the chain rule).

**Theorem 5.** Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer and  $\mu \in (0,1]$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded  $C^{k,\mu}$  domain and let  $\Omega'$  be an open set containing  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Then every function  $\varphi \in C^{k,\mu}(\partial\Omega)$  has an extension  $\overline{\varphi} \in C^{k,\mu}_c(\Omega')$  such that  $\overline{\varphi} = \varphi$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and  $|\overline{\varphi}|_{k,\mu,\Omega'} \leq C|\varphi|_{k,\mu,\partial\Omega}$  for some constant  $C = C(n,k,\mu,\Omega,\Omega') \in (0,\infty)$  independent of  $\varphi$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of the Extension Theorem. Let  $\xi \in \partial \Omega$  and  $\Psi_{\xi}$  be as in the proof of the Extension Theorem. We want to extend  $\tilde{\varphi}_{\xi} = \varphi \circ \Psi_{\xi}^{-1}$  from  $B_1^{n-1}(0) \times \{0\}$  to  $B_1^{n-1}(0) \times \mathbb{R}$ . We do so by letting

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{\xi}(x', x_n) = \tilde{\varphi}_{\xi}(x', 0)$$

for all  $x' \in B_1^{n-1}(0)$  and  $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is easily checked that  $\tilde{\varphi}_{\xi} \in C^{k,\mu}(B_1^{n-1}(0) \times \mathbb{R})$  and  $|\tilde{\varphi}_{\xi}|_{k,\mu,B_1^{n-1}(0)\times\mathbb{R}} \leq C|\tilde{\varphi}_{\xi}|_{k,\mu,B_1^{n-1}(0)\times\{0\}}$  for  $C = C(n,k,\mu) \in (0,\infty)$ . Thus  $\tilde{\varphi}_{\xi} \circ \Psi_{\xi}$  is an extension of  $\varphi$  to  $\Psi_{\xi}^{-1}(B_1(0))$  with  $|\tilde{\varphi}_{\xi} \circ \Psi_{\xi}^{-1}|_{k,\mu;\Psi_{\xi}^{-1}(B_1(0))} \leq C|\varphi|_{k,\mu;\partial\Omega}$ . Let  $\{V_i = \Psi_{\xi_i}^{-1}(B_1(0)) : i = 1,\ldots,N\}$ be a finite cover of  $\partial\Omega$ , where  $\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_N \in \partial\Omega$ . Let  $\chi_i$  be the partition of unity subordinate to  $\{V_i\} \cup \{\Omega\}$ . Define

$$\bar{\varphi} = \chi_0 \varphi + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \chi_i \bar{\varphi}_i \text{ on } \Omega'.$$

Obviously,  $\bar{\varphi} = \varphi$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and  $|\bar{\varphi}|_{k,\mu,\Omega'} \leq C |\varphi|_{k,\mu,\partial\Omega}$  for  $C = C(n,k,\mu,\Omega,\Omega') > 0$ .

References: Gilbarg and Trudinger, Section 4.1.